## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Accountant's Report in Appendix I, the Unaudited Interim Financial Report in Appendix II, the unaudited supplementary financial information in Appendix III in each case together with the accompanying notes, included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated financial statements included in the Accountant's Report and the Unaudited Interim Financial Report have been prepared in accordance with IFRS.


#### Abstract

ASSETS As of June 30, 2009, our total assets amounted to RMB1,410.0 billion, an increase of 33.7\% compared to RMB1,054.4 billion as of December 31, 2008. Our total assets increased by $14.7 \%$ to RMB1,054.4 billion as of December 31, 2008 compared to RMB918.8 billion as of December 31, 2007, which increased by $26.7 \%$ compared to RMB725.1 billion as of December 31, 2006. The growth from December 31, 2006 to June 30, 2009 was primarily due to the growth in loans to customers, a key component of our total assets, and reflected the overall growth of our business.

Our investment securities and trading assets increased by $17.6 \%$ to RMB157.3 billion as of June 30, 2009 from RMB133.8 billion as of December 31, 2008, after a decrease from RMB156.6 billion as of December 31, 2007. The decrease in 2008 reflected (i) the decrease of the value of our available-for-sale securities held by us, and (ii) our increased position in higher-yield asset categories other than debt securities. The increase of investment securities and trading assets in the first half of 2009 was primarily due to the increased liquidity resulting from a significant increase in deposits from customers.

Other important components of our assets include balances with central bank and placements with banks and other financial institutions. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the components of our total assets.


|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and advances to customers, gross . . . | 472,088 | 65.1\% | 554,959 | 60.4\% | 658,360 | 62.4\% | 903,934 | 64.1\% |
| Allowances for impairment losses | $(6,417)$ | (0.9\%) | $(7,663)$ | (0.8\%) | $(11,885)$ | (1.1\%) | $(13,256)$ | (0.9\% |
| Loans and advances to customers, net | 465,671 | 64.2\% | 547,296 | 59.6\% | 646,475 | 61.3\% | 890,678 | 63.2\% |
| Investment securities and trading assets | 100,692 | 13.9\% | 156,552 | 17.0\% | 133,784 | 12.7\% | 157,313 | 11.2\% |
| Balances with central bank. | 105,205 | 14.5\% | 106,992 | 11.7\% | 181,878 | 17.2\% | 134,641 | 9.5\% |
| Placements with banks and other financial institutions, net ${ }^{(1)}$ | 32,148 | 4.4\% | 80,235 | 8.7\% | 52,408 | 5.0\% | 174,595 | 12.4\% |
| Cash and due from banks and other financial institutions ${ }^{(2)}$ | 11,564 | 1.6\% | 11,986 | 1.3\% | 17,648 | 1.7\% | 24,080 | 1.7\% |
| Other assets ${ }^{(3)}$ | 9,807 | 1.4\% | 15,776 | 1.7\% | 22,157 | 2.1\% | 28,707 | 2.0\% |
| Total assets | 725,087 | 100\% | 918,837 | 100\% | 1,054,350 | 100\% | 1,410,014 | 100\% |

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Notes:
(1) Placements with banks and other financial institutions are net of the related allowance for impairment losses of RMB96 million, RMB94 million, RMB92 million and RMB34 million as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 and as of June 30, 2009, respectively.
(2) We did not record any related allowance for impairment losses for cash and due from banks and other financial institutions as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively.
(3) Other assets consist primarily of property, plant and equipment, finance lease receivables, deferred income tax assets, etc.

## Loans to Customers

We provide a broad range of loan products to our customers through our branch network and SBUs. Our loans to customers, net of the allowance for impairment losses, represented 63.2\%, $61.3 \%, 59.6 \%$ and $64.2 \%$ of our total assets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Unless otherwise indicated in this prospectus, the following discussion is based on our total loans to customers, before taking into account the related allowance for impairment losses, rather than our net loans to customers. Our loans are reported net of the allowance for impairment losses on our consolidated balance sheet.

As of June 30, 2009, our total loans to customers amounted to RMB903.9 billion, an increase of $37.3 \%$ from RMB658.4 billion as of December 31, 2008. Our total loans to customers increased by 18.6 \% to RMB658.4 billion as of December 31, 2008 from RMB555.0 billion as of December 31, 2007, which in turn increased by 17.6\% from RMB472.1 billion as of December 31, 2006.

## Distribution of Loans by Product Type

For a description of the products we offer, see "Business - Our Principal Business Activities." The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, our loans to customers by product type.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> (in millions | \% of total <br> of RMB, | Amount <br> except per | \% of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Corporate loans. | 345,544 | 73.2\% | 420,837 | 75.8\% | 485,858 | 73.8\% | 663,342 | 73.4\% |
| Discounted bills | 57,970 | 12.3\% | 34,662 | 6.3\% | 63,931 | 9.7\% | 115,939 | 12.8\% |
| Retail loans | 68,574 | 14.5\% | 99,460 | 17.9\% | 108,571 | 16.5\% | 124,653 | 13.8\% |
| Total loans to customers | 472,088 | 100\% | 554,959 | 100\% | 658,360 | 100\% | 903,934 | 100\% |

Corporate loans have historically been the largest component of our loan portfolio. In recent years, we have also increased our efforts to expand our business in retail loans. Both our corporate loans and retail loans grew during the Track Record Period.

Discounted bills are an important component of our total loan portfolio as well as an important instrument in managing our liquidity. We adjust the proportion of discounted bills to manage our loan scale and liquidity. When we have sufficient liquidity, we may consider to purchase discounted bills (normally with a maturity ranging from seven days to six months depending on the availability of our liquidity) from other banks to increase return. On the other hand, we may consider selling a portion of the discounted bills to increase our liquidity when necessary.
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## Corporate Loans

Corporate loans represented $73.4 \%, 73.8 \%, 75.8 \%$ and $73.2 \%$ of our total loans to customers as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, our corporate loans by product type.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> in millions | \% of total <br> of RMB, | Amount <br> except perc | \% of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Short-term loans | 210,908 | 61.0\% | 238,016 | 56.6\% | 272,301 | 56.0\% | 338,404 | 51.0\% |
| Medium- and long-term loans | 134,636 | 39.0\% | 182,821 | 43.4\% | 213,557 | 44.0\% | 324,938 | 49.0\% |
| Total corporate loans. | 345,544 | 100\% | 420,837 | 100\% | 485,858 | 100\% | 663,342 | 100\% |

As of June 30, 2009, corporate loans amounted to RMB663.3 billion, an increase of $36.5 \%$ from RMB485.9 billion as of December 31, 2008. Corporate loans increased by $15.5 \%$ to RMB485.9 billion as of December 31, 2008 from RMB420.8 billion as of December 31, 2007, which in turn increased by $21.8 \%$ from RMB345.5 billion as of December 31, 2006. The overall increase in corporate loans from December 31, 2006 to June 30, 2009 was in line with our continued business expansion. The growth rate from 2006 to 2007 was higher compared to the growth rate from 2007 to 2008 mainly because in 2008, (i) the demand for loans decreased due to the economic downturn, and (ii) we refrained from extending new corporate loans to borrowers in certain industries which we believe have relatively high risks of defaults in light of the global economic downturn. Corporate loans increased significantly in the first half of 2009, primarily due to increased market demand for corporate loans as a result of the PRC government's fiscal stimulus measures to boost economic growth and an anticipated economic recovery in the PRC as well as our business expansion over the period.

As of June 30, 2009, short-term loans amounted to RMB338.4 billion, an increase of $24.3 \%$ from RMB272.3 billion as of December 31, 2008. Short-term loans increased by $14.4 \%$ to RMB272.3 billion as of December 31, 2008 from RMB238.0 billion as of December 31, 2007, which in turn increased by $12.9 \%$ from RMB210.9 billion as of December 31, 2006. As a percentage of our total corporate loan portfolio, short-term loans accounted for $51.0 \%, 56.0 \%, 56.6 \%$ and $61.0 \%$ of our total corporate loans as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

As of June 30, 2009, medium- and long-term loans amounted to RMB324.9 billion, an increase of $52.2 \%$ from RMB213.6 billion as of December 31, 2008. Medium- and long-term loans increased by $16.8 \%$ to RMB213.6 billion as of December 31, 2008 from RMB182.8 billion as of December 31, 2007, which in turn increased by $35.8 \%$ from RMB134.6 billion as of December 31, 2006. As a percentage of our total corporate loan portfolio, medium- and long-term accounted for 49.0\%, 44.0\%, 43.4\% and $39.0 \%$ of our total loans as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The continuous increase of medium- and long-term loans as a percentage of our total corporate loans during the Track Record Period was primarily attributable to a combination of (i) the increasing market demand for medium- and long-term loans during the Track Record Period and (ii) the increase of project loans to our corporate customers. We have adopted the following measures to manage the associated risks relating to the medium- and long-term loans:

- We actively monitor our assets quality and composition from time to time. For each year, we set a quota for the total amount of medium- and long-term loans to be granted in current year and adjust such quota from time to time during the year.
- The grant of medium- and long-term loans must comply with our credit policy guidelines. Our medium- and long-term loans are generally granted to infrastructure projects that are encouraged by the state, such as construction projects of railways, highways and airports. Our credit policy guidelines also set out certain industries that may involve higher credit risk
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in the current year and require our branches and SBUs to strengthen the risk management for medium- and long-term loans to such industries.

- In addition, we set out other conditions for the granting of medium- and long- term loans, including, among others, checking the industry policy of the state with respect to the project, the necessary approvals required for and environmental assessment results relating to the project, as well as whether the parties to the project have made their own investment.
- We emphasize the importance of post-disbursement monitoring for medium- and longterm loans. In particular, we strictly monitor the use of loans and the progress of the project. Our customer managers maintain regular contact with the borrowers by periodic visits to the borrower. We verify the borrowers' ongoing compliance with the terms of the loan agreements and their financial statements if necessary to monitor potential risks associated with the loans.


## Discounted Bills

Discounted bills represented $12.8 \%, 9.7 \%, 6.3 \%$ and $12.3 \%$ of our total loans to customers as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, our discounted bills by type of obligor.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> n million | \% of total <br> f RMB, | Amount <br> except per | \% of total <br> entages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Bank acceptance bills. | 52,239 | 90.1\% | 29,427 | 84.9\% | 53,778 | 84.1\% | 99,014 | 85.4\% |
| Commercial acceptance bills | 5,731 | 9.9\% | 5,235 | 15.1\% | 10,153 | 15.9\% | 16,925 | 14.6\% |
| Total discounted bills | 57,970 | 100\% | 34,662 | 100\% | 63,931 | 100\% | 115,939 | 100\% |

Discounted bills increased significantly by $84.4 \%$ to RMB63.9 billion as of December 31, 2008 from RMB34.7 billion as of December 31, 2007, and further increased by $81.4 \%$ to RMB115.9 billion as of June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to a combination of (i) an overall increase in market demand for discounted bills, in particular bank acceptance bills, and (ii) our efforts to actively increase our position in discounted bills in response to the PRC government's macroeconomic policy. Discounted bills decreased to RMB34.7 billion as of December 31, 2007 from RMB58.0 billion as of December 31, 2006. The decrease reflected, among other things, our increased position in other higher-yield asset categories.

In general, bank acceptance bills have lower impaired loan ratios than commercial acceptance bills, but commercial acceptance bills bear higher discount rates. While bank acceptance bills are still our major discounted bill product, we have sought to selectively increase commercial acceptance bills as a percentage of total discounted bills outstanding to increase our profitability. As a result, the bank acceptance bills as a percentage of total discounted bills decreased from $90.1 \%$ in 2006, to $84.9 \%$ in 2007 and further decreased to $84.1 \%$ in 2008. Commercial acceptance bills increased from $9.9 \%$ in 2006, to $15.1 \%$ in 2007 and further increased to $15.9 \%$ in 2008. As of June 30, 2009, the proportion of bank acceptance bills increased slightly to $85.4 \%$, while the proportion of commercial acceptance bills decreased slightly to $14.6 \%$.
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## Retail Loans

Retail loans represented $13.8 \%, 16.5 \%, 17.9 \%$ and $14.5 \%$ of our total loans to customers as of June 30， 2009 and December 31，2008， 2007 and 2006，respectively．The following table sets forth，at the dates indicated，our retail loans by product type．

|  | As of December 31， |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\%$ of total | Amount <br> in millions | \％of total <br> of RMB， | Amount <br> except perc | \％of total <br> ntages） | Amount | \％of total |
| Residential mortgage loans． | 65，334 | 95．3\％ | 89，589 | 90．1\％ | 87，401 | 80．5\％ | 92，940 | 74．6\％ |
| Credit card advances． | 1，169 | 1．7\％ | 5，426 | 5．4\％ | 12，727 | 11．7\％ | 12，755 | 10．2\％ |
| Others ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2，071 | 3．0\％ | 4，445 | 4．5\％ | 8，443 | 7．8\％ | 18，958 | 15．2\％ |
| Total retail loans． | $\underline{\underline{68,574}}$ | 100\％ | $\underline{\underline{99,460}}$ | 100\％ | $\underline{\underline{108,571}}$ | 100\％ | $\underline{\underline{124,653}}$ | $\underline{\underline{100}} \%$ |

Note：
（1）Consist primarily of＂Shang Dai Tong＂（商貸通），as well as personal consumption loans such as home improvement loans，education loans and automobile loans．

As of June 30，2009，our retail loans amounted to RMB124．7 billion，an increase of $14.8 \%$ from RMB108．6 billion as of December 31，2008．Retail loans increased by $9.2 \%$ to RMB108．6 billion as of December 31， 2008 from RMB99． 5 billion as of December 31，2007，which in turn increased by 45．0\％ from RMB68．6 billion as of December 31，2006．The continuous increase of our retail loans reflects， among other things，our focus on expanding our retail loan business．

As of June 30，2009，our residential mortgage loans amounted to RMB92．9 billion，an increase of $6.3 \%$ from RMB87．4 billion as of December 31，2008．Residential mortgage loans decreased slightly by $2.4 \%$ to RMB87．4 billion as of December 31， 2008 from RMB89． 6 billion as of December 31，2007， which in turn increased by $37.1 \%$ from RMB65．3 billion as of December 31，2006．The high growth rate of residential mortgage loans in 2007 reflected the boom in China＇s residential real estate market during that period，particularly in the affluent provinces and coastal cities where we had significant residential mortgage loan exposure．The decrease in 2008 was primarily attributable to （i）an increased rate of mortgage repayment ahead of schedule by customers in early 2008 following the PBOC＇s raising of the benchmark interest rate several times in late 2007；and（ii）our adoption of more prudent lending policies when the residential real estate market began to cool down in 2008. The balance of our residential mortgage loans as of June 30， 2009 increased relatively slightly because China＇s residential real estate market recovered and the demand for residential properties increased in the first half of 2009.

As of June 30，2009，credit card advances amounted to RMB12．8 billion，increased slightly from RMB12．7 billion as of December 31，2008．Credit card advances increased by $134.6 \%$ to RMB12．7 billion as of December 31， 2008 from RMB5．4 billion as of December 31，2007，which in turn increased by $364.2 \%$ from RMB1．2 billion as of December 31，2006．The significant growth in credit card advances from December 31， 2006 to December 31， 2008 reflected our focus on expanding our credit card business．The growth rate of the credit card advances slowed down in the first half of 2009，mainly due to the decrease in the number of newly issued credit cards during the same period，reflecting the more conservative consumption attitude of credit card users in China under the previous economic environment．

Our other retail loans consist primarily of＂Shang Dai Tong＂（商貸通），as well as personal consumption loans such as home improvement loans，education loans and automobile loans．Other retail loans increased significantly by $124.5 \%$ to RMB19．0 billion as of June 30， 2009 from RMB8．4 billion as of December 31，2008，primarily driven by an increase in＂Shang Dai Tong＂ （商貸通），increased by $89.9 \%$ from RMB4．4 billion and by $114.6 \%$ from 2.1 billion as of December 31， 2007 and 2006，respectively．
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## Distribution of Corporate Loans by Industry

We classify our corporate loan portfolio based on the industry classifications of the National Bureau of Statistics of China. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our corporate loans by industry classifications.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> (in millions | $\%$ of total <br> f RMB, | Amount <br> xcept per | $\%$ of total <br> ntages) | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Manufacturing | 75,202 | 21.8\% | 96,417 | 22.9\% | 93,655 | 19.3\% | 118,441 | 17.9\% |
| Real estate. | 52,714 | 15.3\% | 69,308 | 16.5\% | 84,976 | 17.5\% | 95,070 | 14.3\% |
| Transportation, storage and post services | 39,743 | 11.5\% | 46,561 | 11.0\% | 65,383 | 13.5\% | 79,195 | 11.9\% |
| Leasing and commercial services ${ }^{(1)}$ | 18,449 | 5.3\% | 25,255 | 6.0\% | 47,512 | 9.8\% | 78,995 | 11.9\% |
| Water, environment and public utility management | 32,592 | 9.4\% | 35,905 | 8.5\% | 37,907 | 7.8\% | 70,676 | 10.7\% |
| Production and supply of electri power, gas and water. | 39,349 | 11.4\% | 48,292 | 11.5\% | 42,890 | 8.8\% | 54,930 | 8.3\% |
| Mining | 10,045 | 2.9\% | 14,772 | 3.5\% | 26,565 | 5.5\% | 36,651 | 5.5\% |
| Public management and social organizations | 9,410 | 2.7\% | 7,925 | 1.9\% | 13,147 | 2.7\% | 33,864 | 5.1\% |
| Construction | 21,860 | 6.3\% | 23,481 | 5.6\% | 23,261 | 4.8\% | 29,702 | 4.5\% |
| Wholesale and retail | 16,934 | 4.9\% | 21,124 | 5.0\% | 19,927 | 4.1\% | 25,377 | 3.8\% |
| Education and public services | 14,485 | 4.2\% | 16,739 | 4.0\% | 13,319 | 2.7\% | 20,442 | 3.1\% |
| Financing. | 1,794 | 0.5\% | 2,332 | 0.6\% | 3,060 | 0.6\% | 4,835 | 0.7\% |
| Information transmission, IT services and software | 5,818 | 1.7\% | 3,905 | 0.9\% | 4,355 | 0.9\% | 4,744 | 0.7\% |
| Others ${ }^{(2)}$ | 7,149 | 2.1\% | 8,821 | 2.1\% | 9,901 | 2.0\% | 10,420 | 1.6\% |
| Total corporate loans. | 345,544 | 100\% | 420,837 | 100\% | 485,858 | 100\% | 663,342 | 100\% |

## Notes:

(1) Consist primarily of lending to asset management, leasing, advertising and municipal infrastructure construction.
(2) Consist primarily of lending to scientific research, technical service, geological exploration, hotel and restaurants industries etc.

The aggregate amount of loans to borrowers in the (i) manufacturing; (ii) real estate; (iii) transportation, storage and post services; (iv) leasing and commercial services; (v) water, environment and public utility management; and (vi) production and supply of electric power, gas and water industries, our six largest industries in terms of aggregate loan exposure, represented $75.0 \%, 76.7 \%, 76.4 \%$ and $74.7 \%$ of our total corporate loans as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Each year, the Credit Assessment Department of our headquarters prepares guidelines regarding our overall credit policy based on detailed internal research and recommendations provided by the other departments at our headquarters, the SBUs and the branches. In the credit policy guidelines, the Credit Assessment Department specifies recommended credit quotas for particular industries, indicating whether lending to borrowers in those industries should be encouraged or restricted within our Bank. The credit policy guidelines are submitted to our Risk Management Commission for approval. After being approved, the credit policy guidelines are distributed to the other relevant departments at our headquarters, the SBUs and the branches, which, in accordance with the policies relating to particular industries, customers and regions relevant to their businesses, prepare their own credit policies with reference to the credit policy guidelines. The other departments, the SBUs and the branches provide the Credit Assessment
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Department with their feedback from time to time so that the Credit Assessment Department may further adjust the overall credit policy guidelines during the year.

## Distribution of Loans by Geographical Region

We classify loans geographically based on the location of the branch that originated the loan. Our branches generally originate loans to borrowers located in their respective geographical areas. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our loan portfolio by geographical region.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> in millions | \% of total <br> f RMB, | Amount <br> except perc | $\%$ of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Northern China ${ }^{(1)}$. | 159,511 | 33.8\% | 174,754 | 31.5\% | 191,011 | 29.0\% | 317,062 | 35.1\% |
| Eastern China | 150,157 | 31.8\% | 186,760 | 33.7\% | 236,412 | 35.9\% | 291,491 | 32.2\% |
| Southern China | 81,462 | 17.3\% | 92,202 | 16.6\% | 95,388 | 14.5\% | 93,895 | 10.4\% |
| Others ${ }^{(2)}$ | 80,958 | 17.1\% | 101,243 | 18.2\% | 135,549 | 20.6\% | 201,486 | 22.3\% |
| Total loans to customers | 472,088 | 100\% | 554,959 | 100\% | 658,360 | 100\% | 903,934 | 100\% |

## Notes:

(1) Loans originated by our headquarters are included in Northern China. Loans originated by the SBUs are included in the branches at which the SBUs or their sub-branches are located, except that loans originated by the Trade Finance SBU are included in Northern China. See "Financial Information - Summary Segment Operating Results".
(2) Include Pengzhou Minsheng Township Bank Co., Ltd. and the following branches: Xi'an, Dalian, Chongqing, Chengdu, Kunming, Wuhan, Changsha, Zhengzhou and Changchun.

## Distribution of Corporate Loans by Size

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of the outstanding amounts of our corporate loan exposures to borrowers by size.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\%$ of total | Number of borrowers | Average borrowing amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\%$ of total | Number of borrowers | Average borrowing amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | \% of total | Number of borrowers | Average borrowing amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\%$ of total | Number of borrowers | Average borrowing amount ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages and the number of borrowers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to RMB10 million. . | 5,565 | 1.6\% | \% 962 | 6 | 6,618 | 1.6\% | 1,120 | 6 | 8,249 | 1.7\% | \% 1,322 | 6 | 9,607 | 1.4\% | 1,680 | 6 |
| Over RMB10 million to RMB50 million | 42,867 | 12.4\% | \% 1,432 | 30 | 46,023 | 10.9\% | 1,585 | 29 | 48,430 | 10.0\% | \% 1,731 | 28 | 58,416 | 8.8\% | 2,045 | 29 |
| Over RMB50 million to RMB100 million. | 42,432 | 12.3\% | \% 509 | 83 | 50,371 | 12.0\% | 608 | 83 | 53,497 | 11.0\% | \% 645 | 83 | 62,325 | 9.4\% | 750 | 83 |
| Over RMB100 million to RMB500 million . | 167,871 | 48.6\% | \% 707 | 237 | 202,074 | 48.0\% | 847 | 239 | 228,654 | 47.1\% | \% 938 | 244 | 303,349 | 45.7\% | 1,199 | 253 |
| Over RMB500 million to RMB1 billion. | 65,134 | 18.8\% | \% 91 | 716 | 83,747 | 19.9\% | 116 | 722 | 98,770 | 20.3\% | \% 134 | 737 | 118,254 | 17.9\% | 155 | 763 |
| Over RMB1 billion | 21,675 | 6.3\% | \% 16 | 1,355 | 32,004 | 7.6\% | +23 | 1,391 | 48,258 | 9.9\% | \% 32 | 1,508 | 111,391 | 16.8\% | -60 | 1,857 |
| Total corporate loans | 345,544 | 100\% | \% 3,717 | 93 | 420,837 | 100\% | 4,299 | 98 | 485,858 | 100\% | \% 4,802 | 101 | 663,342 | 100\% | 5,889 | 113 |

Note:
(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of loans in each category by the number of borrowers in each category.

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

## Distribution of Loans by Collateral

Loans secured by collateral, pledged assets and guarantees represented, in the aggregate, $70.3 \%, 73.6 \%, 72.8 \%$ and $76.6 \%$ of our total loan portfolio as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. If a loan is secured by more than one form of collateral, the distribution is based on the primary form of collateral. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our loan portfolio by the type of collateral.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> in millions | \% of total <br> RMB, | Amount <br> except per | $\%$ of total <br> tages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Unsecured loans | 110,485 | 23.4\% | 151,018 | 27.2\% | 173,421 | 26.4\% | 268,672 | 29.7\% |
| Guaranteed loans | 131,829 | 27.9\% | 142,165 | 25.6\% | 150,383 | 22.8\% | 194,880 | 21.6\% |
| Secured loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Collateralized loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | 133,396 | 28.3\% | 184,585 | 33.3\% | 220,754 | 33.5\% | 267,009 | 29.5\% |
| - Pledged loans ${ }^{(2)}$. | 96,378 | 20.4\% | 77,191 | 13.9\% | 113,802 | 17.3\% | 173,373 | 19.2\% |
| Total loans to customers | 472,088 | 100\% | 554,959 | 100\% | 658,360 | 100\% | 903,934 | 100\% |

Notes:
(1) Represent loans secured by tangible assets remaining in the borrower's possession, which consist primarily of loans secured by real estate and plant and equipment.
(2) Consist primarily of loans secured by deposits, financial instruments (including discounted bills) and rights to future cash flows.

During the Track Record Period, the percentage of unsecured loans increased from 23.4\% as of December 31, 2006 to $29.7 \%$ as of June 30, 2009, mainly because: (i) our overall customer base is optimized along with our SBUs reform and as a result, we have granted more unsecured loans to our customers; and (ii) the expansion of our credit card business had resulted in increases in unsecured loans. In order to effectively manage the potential risks associated with unsecured loans, we have implemented very restrictive conditions for granting unsecured loans. Each and all of the following conditions must be met for granting unsecured loans to a corporate loan applicant:

- The applicant must be a customer with a high customer credit rating, namely, higher than A grade (inclusive), pursuant to our customer rating system. See "Risk Management Credit Risk Management - Credit Risk Management for Corporate Loans - Customer Ratings";
- The applicant's business must fall within those encouraged industries pursuant to our credit policy guidelines. See "Risk Management - Credit Risk Management - Credit Risk Management for Corporate Loans - Credit Policy Guidelines"; and
- The applicant does not have any bad credit record.

Loans secured by tangible assets are generally subject to loan-to-value ratio limits based on the type of collateral. See "Risk Management - Credit Risk Management - Credit Risk Management for Corporate Loans - Collateral Appraisal." Guarantors are subject to the same credit approval process as borrowers. Since guaranteed loans are generally not secured by any assets of the guarantors and some guarantors are related parties to the borrowers or otherwise having business relationship with the borrowers, we have implemented stricter credit policies on guaranteed loans. As a percentage of our loan portfolio, guaranteed loans decreased to $21.6 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 from $22.8 \%$ as of December 31, 2008, 25.6\% as of December 31, 2007 and $27.9 \%$ as of December 31, 2006.

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the distribution of our loans by collateral in terms of corporate banking and retail banking:

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total |  | \% of total |  | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate Banking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unsecured loans | 109,271 | 23.1\% | 145,557 | 26.2\% | 160,555 | 24.4\% | 241,130 | 26.7\% |
| Guaranteed loans | 131,142 | 27.8\% | 141,635 | 25.5\% | 149,775 | 22.7\% | 192,394 | 21.3\% |
| Secured loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Collateralized Ioans | 67,462 | 14.3\% | 92,004 | 16.6\% | 126,970 | 19.3\% | 174,283 | 19.3\% |
| - Pledged loans. | 95,639 | 20.3\% | 76,303 | 13.7\% | 112,489 | 17.1\% | 171,474 | 19.0\% |
| Subtotal | 403,514 | 85.5\% | 455,499 | 82.0\% | 549,789 | 83.5\% | 779,281 | 86.3\% |
| Retail Banking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unsecured loans | 1,214 | 0.3\% | 5,461 | 1.0\% | 12,866 | 2.0\% | 27,542 | 3.0\% |
| Guaranteed loans | 687 | 0.1\% | 530 | 0.1\% | 608 | 0.1\% | 2,486 | 0.3\% |
| Secured loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Collateralized Ioans | 65,934 | 14.0\% | 92,581 | 16.7\% | 93,784 | 14.2\% | 92,726 | 10.2\% |
| - Pledged loans. | 739 | 0.1\% | 888 | 0.2\% | 1,313 | 0.2\% | 1,899 | 0.2\% |
| Subtotal | 68,574 | 14.5\% | 99,460 | 18.0\% | 108,571 | 16.5\% | 124,653 | 13.7\% |
| Total | 472,088 | 100\% | 554,959 | 100\% | 658,360 | 100\% | 903,934 | 100\% |

## Borrower Concentration

In accordance with applicable PRC banking laws and regulations, we are subject to a lending limit of $10 \%$ of our regulatory capital to any single borrower. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, our largest aggregate loan exposure to a single borrower was $9.2 \%, 4.2 \%$, $3.6 \%$, and $5.8 \%$, respectively, of our regulatory capital. We were thus in compliance with this requirement. As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate amount of loans to our ten largest single borrowers totaled RMB38.0 billion, representing $4.2 \%$ of our total loans to customers.

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2009, the loans to our ten largest single borrowers (excluding group borrowers), all of which were performing at that date.

|  | Industry | As of June 30, 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | \% of total | $\qquad$ regulatory capital ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  |  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |
| Borrower A | Public management and social organizations | 7,570 | 0.8\% | 9.2\% |
| Borrower B | Leasing and commercial services | 5,041 | 0.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Borrower C | Public management and social organizations | 4,000 | 0.4\% | 4.9\% |
| Borrower D | Transportation, storage and post services | 3,500 | 0.4\% | 4.3\% |
| Borrower E | Public management and social organizations | 3,500 | 0.4\% | 4.3\% |
| Borrower F | Public management and social organizations | 3,100 | 0.3\% | 3.8\% |
| Borrower G | Manufacturing | 3,016 | 0.3\% | 3.7\% |
| Borrower H | Public management and social organizations | 2,900 | 0.3\% | 3.5\% |
| Borrower I | Manufacturing . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,900 | 0.3\% | 3.5\% |
| Borrower J | Public management and social organizations | 2,500 | 0.3\% | 3.1\% |
| Total. |  | 38,027 | 4.2\% | 46.5\% |

## Note:

(1) Represents loan amounts as a percentage of our regulatory capital. See "Supervision and Regulation - PRC Banking Supervision and Regulation - Supervision Over Capital Adequacy."

We have implemented policies and procedures designed to identify borrower applicants belonging to the same group in our credit extension process. Based on the information available to us, as of June 30, 2009, the aggregate amount of loans to our ten largest group borrowers (excluding single borrowers) totaled RMB29.5 billion, representing 3.3\% of our total loans to customers and $36.0 \%$ of our regulatory capital.

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following table sets forth，as of June 30，2009，the loans to our ten largest group borrowers （excluding single borrowers），all of which were performing at that date．

|  |  | As of June 30，2009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Note：

（1）Represents loan amounts as a percentage of our regulatory capital．See＂Supervision and Regulation — PRC Banking Supervision and Regulation－Supervision Over Capital Adequacy．＂

According to the Guidelines on the Management of Risks of Credits Granted by Commercial Banks to Group Clients 《商業銀行集團客戶授信業務風險管理指引» published by the CBRC on October 23，2003，a commercial bank may determine the scope of single and group customers according to the CBRC＇s guidelines and the actual requirements of its risk management arising out of its management of risks of its credit extension business．The China Minsheng Bank Provisional Measures of the Management of Group Customers «中國民生銀行集團客戶管理暫行辦法》 filed with the CBRC sets out rules relating to the concept，scope，identification and determination of group customers．Our PRC legal advisors，Grandall Legal Group（Beijing），have confirmed that the methods used by us to classify group borrowers comply with the relevant CBRC requirements．

However，information regarding the group affiliations of individual corporate entities may not be available to us on a timely basis or at all．See＂Risk Factors－Risks Relating to the Banking Industry in the PRC－The effectiveness of our risk management system is affected by the quality and scope of information available in the PRC＂and＂Risk Factors－Risks Relating to Our Business－ We are subject to a number of risks，including credit risk，market risk，liquidity risk and operational risk，and cannot assure you that our risk management and internal control policies and procedures can protect us against such risks．＂The applicable PRC banking guidelines recommend that commercial banks limit loans to any borrowers belonging to a single group to no more than $15 \%$ of their regulatory capital．Based on the information available to us，as of June 30， 2009 and December 31，2008， 2007 and 2006，none of our loans to any group borrowers exceeded $15 \%$ of our regulatory capital．

## Maturity Profile of Loan Portfolio

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2009, our loan products, net of related allowance for impairment losses, by remaining maturity.

|  | As of June 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Due less } \\ \text { than } \\ 3 \text { months } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Due over 3 months up to 1 year | Due between 1 and 5 years | Due more than 5 years | Overdue less than 90 days | Overdue 90 days to one year | Overdue more than one year | Total |
|  |  | (in millions of RMB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate loans | 86,210 | 278,614 | 211,245 | 73,121 | 581 | 1,662 | 494 | 651,927 |
| Discounted bills | 65,203 | 50,391 | - | - | 17 | - | - | 115,611 |
| Retail loans | 13,215 | 10,422 | 31,287 | 64,957 | 2,458 | 742 | 59 | 123,140 |
| Total loans to customers, net | 164,628 | 339,427 | $\underline{\underline{242,532}}$ | 138,078 | 3,056 | $\underline{\underline{2,404}}$ | 553 | 890,678 |

As of June 30, 2009, $56.6 \%$ of our total loans to customers were due in one year or less. Shortterm corporate loans primarily consist of working capital loans and represent a majority of our corporate loan portfolio. We may renew a portion of our corporate loans at maturity at the borrower's request and, when we do so, these loans are treated, and are subject to the same credit approval policies and procedures, as newly-granted loans. All of our discounted bills are short-term and are generally due within six months. The majority of our retail loans have a term of one year or more, primarily because the largest component of our retail loans consists of mortgage loans, which generally have longer terms.

## Loan Interest Rate Profile

Interest rates have historically been highly regulated in China and are gradually being liberalized. See "Supervision and Regulation - PRC Banking Supervision and Regulation - Pricing of Products and Services." Interest rates on RMB-denominated loans are subject to resetting after a change in the applicable PBOC benchmark rates. Since January 1, 2004, under applicable regulations of the PRC government, banks have been allowed to negotiate the terms of interest rates on newly granted RMB-denominated loans with a maturity of longer than one year. To manage interest risk, we generally set adjustable interest rates on most of our corporate loans and retail loans. Only a small portion of our loans with a maturity of longer than one year have fixed interest rates. The adjustable interest rates of our corporate loans depend on our negotiation with customers and may be adjusted on the next day, or on the next interest payable day following the date of a change in the applicable benchmark interest rate.

Under applicable PBOC regulations, since March 17, 2005, commercial banks have been allowed to determine their own interest rates for residential mortgage loans above the minimum benchmark interest rate set by the PBOC. We generally adjust the interest rates of our residential mortgage loans on January 1 of the next year following the date when the applicable benchmark interest rates change.

## Asset Quality of Our Loan Portfolio

We measure and monitor the asset quality of our loan portfolio through our loan classification system. We classify our loans using a five-category loan classification system, which complies with the CBRC's guidelines. See "Supervision and Regulation - PRC Banking Supervision and Regulation - Loan Classification, Allowances and Write-offs - Loan Classification."

## Loan Classification Criteria

In determining the classification of our loan portfolio, we apply a series of criteria that are derived from the CBRC guidelines. These criteria are designed to assess the likelihood of repayment by the borrower and the collectability of principal and interest on the loan. Our loan classification

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

criteria focus on a number of factors, to the extent applicable, including (i) the borrower's ability to repay the loan, based on such factors as the borrower's cash flows, financial condition and other non-financial factors affecting the borrower's repayment ability; (ii) the borrower's repayment record; (iii) the borrower's willingness to repay; (iv) the profitability of the project; (v) the guarantees provided for the loan; (vi) the legal responsibilities for loan repayment; and (vii) the credit management status of the Bank.

## Corporate Loans and Discounted Bills

In applying the above criteria to corporate loans and discounted bills, we also take into account the length of time by which payments of principal or interest on a loan are overdue, the borrower's financial condition, the borrower's business cycle, and other factors. The key factors for each loan classification are listed below. This is not intended to be a complete list of all factors taken into account in classifying our loans.

Normal. The borrower can honor its contract and there is no reason to doubt that the loan principal and interest will not be repaid in full and on a timely basis.

- The industry that the borrower is in has good prospects; the borrower has a leading position within its industry and very strong competitive advantages.
- The borrower has robust operations and finances and can resist or withstand large and negative internal and external changes, has excellent loan repayment and profit-earning ability, and has stable and sufficient operational net cash flow.
- The borrower has excellent credit and strong financing ability, with multiple financing sources to satisfy its financing needs.
- The borrower has a good credit history, a strong inclination to repay loans, and no record of default with us or any other financial institution.
Special Mention. Even if the borrower currently has the ability to repay the loan principal and interest, there are still factors that could adversely affect its repayment ability.
- Changes such as those in macro-political policies, the economy, market, industry, and laws and regulations have had certain negative effects on the borrower's operations.
- There is reason to suspect that the borrower is using mergers, restructuring, spin-offs etc. to intentionally evade its bank debt obligations, however, the borrower has no overdue loan principal or interest.
- The borrower's profit-earning ability has diminished, and each of its financial indicators is below average industry levels or have declined to a specific extent.
- The guarantor's operational or credit situation has raised concerns, and collateral value is fluctuating or falling.
- Principal or interest is overdue for 90 days or less.

Substandard. The borrower's ability to repay is clearly in question. It cannot rely entirely on its normal operating revenues, and certain losses may ensue even when guarantees are enforced.

- The borrower has continued financial difficulties affecting its ability to continue its business operations, it has repayment difficulties, is unlikely to obtain new funds and is unable to repay debts to its other lenders.
- Other than the borrower's production and operations, the repayment of our loans to the borrower depends on enforcing guarantees and on other repayment sources, and even so, we expect to incur loss.
- Principal or interest is overdue between 90 to 180 days.
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Doubtful. The borrower is unable to repay loan principal and interest in full, and relatively large losses will certainly ensue even if guarantees are enforced.

- The borrower's operations are now in critical condition, production has been suspended or partially suspended, and fixed asset projects have been suspended or postponed.
- The borrower is insolvent and is unable to repay.
- We have already taken legal measures, but anticipate that even if we invoke legal proceedings, relatively large losses will still be incurred.
- Principal or interest is overdue between 180 to 360 days.

Loss. We will classify a loan as a loss if we are still unable to collect the loan principal and interest or can only collect a small portion of it, after taking all possible measures and necessary legal proceedings. The fact that principal or interest is overdue for 361 days or more is only a minor factor in our determination of whether a loan is a loss.

## Retail Loans

In applying the loan classification criteria to retail loans, we primarily consider, among others, the length of time by which payments of principal or interest are overdue and the collateral provided to such loans.

The following tables set forth the key quantitative factors that we consider when classify retail loans.

For retail loans other than credit cards:

|  | Normal | Special mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pledge. | Not due | Overdue for 90 days or less | Overdue for 91 days or more | - | - |
| Mortgage | Not due | Overdue for 90 days or less | Overdue between 91 to 180 days | Overdue for 181 days or more | - |
| Guarantee | Not due | Overdue for 30 days or less | Overdue between 31 to 90 days | Overdue for 91 days or more | - |
| Unsecured | Not due | Overdue for 30 days or less | Overdue between 31 to 90 days | Overdue between 91 to 360 days | Overdue for 361 days or more |

For credit cards:

## Normal

Special mention
Substandard
Doubtful
Loss

## Not due

Overdue between 1 to 180 days
Overdue between 181 to 270 days
Overdue between 271 to 360 days
Overdue for 361 days or more
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## Distribution of Loans by Loan Classification

The following tables set forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our loan portfolio by loan classification category. We use the term "non-performing loans" and "impaired loans" synonymously to refer to the loans identified as "impaired loans" in Note 3.(2).f.(iii) to the Accountant's Report in Appendix I to this prospectus. See "Definitions." Under our five-category loan classification system, our non-performing loans are classified as substandard, doubtful or loss, as applicable.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> (in millions | \% of total <br> f RMB, | Amount <br> xcept per | \% of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Normal | 453,836 | 96.1\% | 540,521 | 97.4\% | 634,073 | 96.3\% | 880,855 | 97.4\% |
| Special mention | 12,359 | 2.6\% | 7,665 | 1.4\% | 16,366 | 2.5\% | 15,278 | 1.7\% |
| Substandard | 2,328 | 0.5\% | 2,292 | 0.4\% | 3,459 | 0.5\% | 2,813 | 0.3\% |
| Doubtful | 2,202 | 0.5\% | 2,736 | 0.5\% | 3,189 | 0.5\% | 2,464 | 0.3\% |
| Loss | 1,363 | 0.3\% | 1,745 | 0.3\% | 1,273 | 0.2\% | 2,524 | 0.3\% |
| Total loans to customers | 472,088 | 100\% | 554,959 | 100\% | 658,360 | 100\% | 903,934 | 100\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 1.25\% |  | 1.22\% |  | 1.20\% |  | 0.86\% |

Note:
(1) Calculated by dividing non-performing loans by total loans.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount total |  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 328,984 | 95.2\% | 408,107 | 97.0\% | 465,678 | 95.8\% | 645,206 | 97.2\% |
| Special mention. | 11,229 | 3.3\% | 6,526 | 1.5\% | 12,994 | 2.7\% | 11,287 | 1.7\% |
| Substandard | 2,115 | 0.6\% | 2,055 | 0.5\% | 3,138 | 0.6\% | 2,424 | 0.4\% |
| Doubtful | 1,875 | 0.5\% | 2,408 | 0.6\% | 2,781 | 0.6\% | 1,931 | 0.3\% |
| Loss | 1,341 | 0.4\% | 1,741 | 0.4\% | 1,267 | 0.3\% | 2,494 | 0.4\% |
| Subtotal | 345,544 | 100\% | 420,837 | 100\% | 485,858 | 100\% | 663,342 | 100\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ Discounted bills |  | 1.54\% |  | 1.47\% |  | 1.48\% |  | 1.03\% |
| Normal | 57,970 | 100\% | 34,662 | 100\% | 63,862 | 99.9\% | 115,929 | 100\% |
| Special mention. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 0.0\% |
| Substandard | - | - | - | - | 15 | 0.0\% | - | - |
| Doubtful | - | - | - | - | 54 | 0.1\% | - | - |
| Loss. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Subtotal | 57,970 | 100\% | 34,662 | 100\% | 63,931 | 100\% | 115,939 | 100\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | - |  | - |  | 0.11\% |  | - |


|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\%$ of total | Amount (in millions | \% of total <br> of RMB, | Amount <br> xcept perc | $\%$ of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Retail loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 66,882 | 97.5\% | 97,752 | 98.3\% | 104,533 | 96.3\% | 119,720 | 96.1\% |
| Special mention. | 1,130 | 1.7\% | 1,139 | 1.2\% | 3,372 | 3.1\% | 3,981 | 3.2\% |
| Substandard | 213 | 0.3\% | 237 | 0.2\% | 306 | 0.3\% | 389 | 0.3\% |
| Doubtful | 327 | 0.5\% | 328 | 0.3\% | 354 | 0.3\% | 533 | 0.4\% |
| Loss | 22 | 0.0\% | 4 | 0.0\% | 6 | 0.0\% | 30 | 0.0\% |
| Subtotal. | 68,574 | 100\% | 99,460 | 100\% | 108,571 | 100\% | 124,653 | 100\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 0.82\% |  | 0.57\% |  | 0.61\% |  | 0.76\% |
| Total loans to customers | 472,088 |  | 554,959 |  | 658,360 |  | 903,934 |  |

## Note:

(1) Calculated by dividing non-performing loans in each category by total loans.

The non-performing loan ratio for our total loan portfolio was $0.86 \%, 1.20 \%, 1.22 \%$ and $1.25 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The decrease in our nonperforming loan ratio from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2008 reflected an overall improvement in the asset quality of our loan portfolio, primarily attributable to the following factors:

- We continuously seek to improve our overall risk management system, especially our risk management organizational structure, policies, procedures and culture.
- We establish credit policy guidelines on an annual basis, and adjust such guidelines from time to time in accordance with market conditions and our business goal.
- With respect to existing loans, we continuously seek to improve our risk identification capacity with advanced technology and a more sensitive risk alert system and more stringent procedures.
- We have been able to collect problem loans more efficiently with the support of our Investment Banking SBU, which is responsible for the management, recovery and collection of non-performing loans transferred to it.
The significant decrease in the non-performing loan ratio in the first half of 2009 was attributable to, in addition to the above factors, our continuous efforts to recover problem loans.
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## Changes to the Asset Quality of Our Loan Portfolio

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the changes in the outstanding amounts of our impaired loans.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30}{2009}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |  |
|  | (in millions of RMB) |  |  |  |
| Beginning of the year | 4,828 | 5,893 | 6,773 | 7,921 |
| Additional impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$. | 2,092 | 2,780 | 5,029 | 2,520 |
| Deducted by |  |  |  |  |
| Write-offs | (435) | (792) | $(1,198)$ | (603) |
| Upgrades. | (59) | (33) | (144) | (63) |
| Recoveries | (533) | $(1,075)$ | $(2,539)$ | $(1,762)$ |
| Others ${ }^{(2)}$ | - | - | - | (212) |
| End of the year | 5,893 | $\underline{6,773}$ | 7,921 | 7,801 |

Notes:
(1) Include downgrades from performing loans to impaired loans in respective year.
(2) We also recorded only a one-off waiver of RMB212 million in the first half of 2009, representing the outstanding loan principal and the interest which we agreed to waive with respect to one of our corporate loan customers, a highway constructor, after such customer agreed to repay the remaining outstanding principal amount of RMB350 million. The waiver was approved by our Board and such customer repaid the remaining RMB350 million to us in March 2009.

As of June 30, 2009, the balance of our impaired loans was RMB7.8 billion, a decrease by $1.5 \%$ from RMB7.9 billion as of December 31, 2008, mainly due to our strengthened recovery of impaired loans during the period.

As of December 31, 2008, the balance of our impaired loans was RMB7.9 billion, an increase by $16.9 \%$ from RMB6.8 billion as of December 31, 2007, which in turn increased by $14.9 \%$ from RMB5.9 billion as of December 31, 2006. However, our impaired loan ratio continued to decrease from $1.25 \%$ as of December 31, 2006 to $1.22 \%$ as of December 31, 2007 to $1.20 \%$ as of December 2008. The increase in the balance of our impaired loans from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2008 was primarily due to additional impaired loans recorded in 2007 and 2008, respectively, as a result of our business expansion. Our recoveries of impaired loans increased significantly in 2007 and 2008, reflecting our efforts to recollect problem loans.

## Distribution of Impaired Loans by Product Type

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, our impaired loans by product type.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | As of June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Impaired } \\ & \text { loans } \\ & \text { ratio }{ }^{(1)} \end{aligned}$ | Amount | $\%$ of total <br> in million |  | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { except pet }}$ |  | Impaired loans ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> s) | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Impaired loans ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Corporate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short term loans | 3,245 | 55.1\% | 1.54\% | 3,216 | 47.5\% | 1.35\% | 3,215 | 40.6\% | 1.18\% | 3,416 | 43.8\% | 1.01\% |
| Medium- and long-term loans. | 2,086 | 35.4\% | 1.55\% | 2,988 | 44.1\% | 1.63\% | 3,971 | 50.1\% | 1.86\% | 3,433 | 44.0\% | 1.06\% |
| subtotal. | 5,331 | 90.5\% | 1.54\% | 6,204 | 91.6\% | 1.47\% | 7,186 | 90.7\% | 1.48\% | 6,849 | 87.8\% | 1.03\% |
| Discounted bills | - | - | - | - | - | - | 69 | 0.9\% | 0.11\% | - | - | - |
| Retail loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage loans | 482 | 8.2\% | 0.74\% | 538 | 7.9\% | 0.60\% | 451 | 5.7\% | 0.52\% | 507 | 6.5\% | 0.55\% |
| Credit card advances. | 7 | 0.1\% | 0.60\% | 25 | 0.4\% | 0.46\% | 156 | 2.0\% | 1.23\% | 418 | 5.4\% | 3.28\% |
| Others | 73 | 1.2\% | 3.52\% | 6 | 0.1\% | 0.13\% | 59 | 0.7\% | 0.70\% | 27 | 0.3\% | 0.14\% |
| subtotal. | 562 | 9.5\% | 0.82\% | 569 | 8.4\% | 0.57\% | 666 | 8.4\% | 0.61\% | 952 | 12.2\% | 0.76\% |
| Total impaired loans | 5,893 | 100\% | 1.25\% | $\underline{6,773}$ | 100\% | 1.22\% | $\underline{7,921}$ | 100\% | 1.20\% | 7,801 | 100\% | 0.86\% |
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## Note:

(1) Calculated by dividing impaired loans in each category by total loans in that category.

The impaired loan ratio of short term loans decreased to $1.01 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 from 1.18\% as of December 31, 2008, 1.35\% as of December 31, 2007 and $1.54 \%$ as of December 31, 2006, reflecting our continuous efforts to control our impaired loans.

The impaired loan ratio of medium- and long-term loans increased to $1.86 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 from $1.63 \%$ as of December 31, 2007, which in turn increased from $1.55 \%$ as of December 31, 2006. The increase of the impaired loan ratio of medium and long-term loans from 2006 to 2007 primarily reflected the impact of the PRC government's macroeconomic tightening policy, particularly on certain borrowers in the power and real estate industries. The increase of the impaired loan ratio of medium-and long-term loans from 2007 to 2008 was primarily due to the impact of the global economic downturn on certain industries of the PRC, such as the real estate and energy industries. This resulted in downgrades of loans to some of our customers in such industries. The impaired loan ratio of medium- and long-term loans decreased to $1.06 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 from $1.86 \%$ as of December 31, 2008, mainly because (i) the total amount of medium- and long-term loans increased in the first half of 2009, (ii) some of our customers had improved their financial condition and resumed repaying the loans, and (iii) our continuous effort to recover impaired loans.

The impaired loan ratio of residential mortgage loans decreased to $0.52 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 from $0.60 \%$ as of December 31, 2007 and $0.74 \%$ as of December 31, 2006. As of June 30, 2009, the impaired loan ratio of residential mortgage loans increased slightly to $0.55 \%$ from $0.52 \%$ as of December 31, 2008.

The impaired loan ratio of credit card advances increased to $3.28 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 from $1.23 \%$ as of December 31, 2008, $0.46 \%$ as of December 31,2007 and $0.60 \%$ as of December 31, 2006. The increase in the impaired loan ratio of credit card advances is in line with the business expansion of our credit card business and within our risk tolerance level. As of June 30, 2009, the total amount of the impaired loans of credit card advances amounted to RMB418 million, representing an increase of $167.9 \%$ from RMB156 million as of December 31, 2008, mainly because some of our credit card customers were affected by the economic downturn and therefore unable to repay the outstanding credit card advances in time.

We had an impaired loan ratio of $3.52 \%$ for other retail loans as of December 31, 2006, representing mainly our impaired automobile loans. As a result of our efforts to recollect the impaired automobile loans and the write-off of certain amounts of impaired automobile loans, the impaired loan ratio for other loans decreased to $0.13 \%, 0.70 \%$ and $0.14 \%$ as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively.
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## Distribution of Corporate Impaired Loans by Industry

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our impaired corporate loans by industry.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Impaired } \\ \text { loan ratio }{ }^{(1)} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Amount | \% of <br> total <br> (in mil | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \begin{array}{l} \text { Impaired } \\ \text { loan ratio } \\ \text { (1) } \end{array} \\ & \text { Ilions of RMB, } \end{aligned}$ | Amount | $\%$ of total <br> rcentages) | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \text { Impaired } \\ & \text { loan ratio }{ }^{(1)} \\ & \text { es) } \end{aligned}$ | Amount | \% of total | Impaired <br> loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Manufacturing | 2,068 | 38.8\% | 2.75\% | 1,819 | 29.3\% | 1.89\% | 1,427 | 19.9\% | 1.52\% | 1,743 | 25.4\% | 1.47\% |
| Real estate | 622 | 11.7\% | 1.18\% | 1,664 | 26.8\% | 2.40\% | 2,230 | 31.0\% | 2.62\% | 2,020 | 29.5\% | 2.12\% |
| Transportation, storage and post services. | 10 | 0.2\% | 0.03\% | 104 | 1.7\% | 0.22\% | 595 | 8.3\% | 0.91\% | 45 | 0.7\% | 0.06\% |
| Leasing and commercial services ${ }^{(2)}$ | 571 | 10.7\% | 3.09\% | 353 | 5.7\% | 1.40\% | 520 | 7.2\% | 1.09\% | 670 | 9.8\% | 0.85\% |
| Water environment and public utility management.... . | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% |
| Production and supply of electric power, gas and water $\qquad$ | 89 | 1.7\% | 0.23\% | 88 | 1.4\% | 0.18\% | 1,047 | 14.6\% | 2.44\% | 974 | 14.2\% | 1.77\% |
| Mining | 18 | 0.3\% | 0.18\% | 18 | 0.3\% | 0.10\% | 11 | 0.2\% | 0.04\% | 11 | 0.2\% | 0.03\% |
| Public management and social organizations. | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% |
| Construction | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | 31 | 0.4\% | 0.13\% | 70 | 1.0\% | 0.24\% |
| Wholesale and retail. | 846 | 15.9\% | 5.00\% | 1,126 | 18.2\% | 5.30\% | 402 | 5.6\% | 2.02\% | 372 | 5.4\% | 1.47\% |
| Education and public services. | 576 | 10.8\% | 3.98\% | 563 | 9.1\% | 3.36\% | 229 | 3.2\% | 1.72\% | 15 | 0.2\% | 0.07\% |
| Financing | 8 | 0.1\% | 0.45\% | 83 | 1.3\% | 3.56\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.00\% |
| Information transmission, IT services and software. | 233 | 4.4\% | 4.00\% | 201 | 3.2\% | 5.15\% | 413 | 5.7\% | 9.48\% | 412 | 6.0\% | 8.68\% |
| Others ${ }^{(3)}$. | 290 | 5.4\% | 4.06\% | 185 | 3.0\% | 2.10\% | 281 | 3.9\% | 2.84\% | 517 | 7.6\% | 4.96\% |
| Total corporate impaired loans | 5,331 | 100\% | 1.54\% | 6,204 | 100\% | 1.47\% | 7,186 | 100\% | 1.48\% | $\underline{6,849}$ | 100\% | 1.03\% |

Notes:
(1) Impaired loan ratios for each industry are calculated by dividing the amount of total impaired loans for each industry by total outstanding loans for that industry.
(2) Consist primarily of asset management, leasing, advertising and municipal infrastructure construction.
(3) Consist primarily of scientific research, technical service, geological exploration, hotel and restaurants industries etc.

The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the manufacturing industry decreased from 2.75\% as of December 31, 2006, 1.89\% as of December 31, 2007, 1.52\% as of December 31, 2008 to $1.47 \%$ as of June 30, 2009, primarily due to our increased efforts to recover these impaired loans.

As of June 30, 2009, impaired loans to the real estate industry accounted for $29.5 \%$ of our total impaired loans. The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the real estate industry increased to $2.62 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 from 2.40\% as of December 31, 2007 and $1.18 \%$ as of December 31, 2006. The increase in the impaired loan ratio of our loans to the real estate industry from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2008 primarily reflected the impact of the economic downturn on the real estate market and the impact of the PRC government's macroeconomic measures relating to the real estate industry, particularly on borrowers in the real estate industry which were highly leveraged and whose sources of funding were adversely affected by these measures. The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the real estate industry decreased to $2.12 \%$ as of June 30,2009 from $2.62 \%$ as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to the recovery of China's real estate market since early 2009.

The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the production and supply of electric power, gas and water industry increased significantly to $2.44 \%$ in 2008. In particular, the increase of the impaired
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Ioan ratio of our loans to the production and supply of electric power, gas and water industry was largely due to the significant increase in the prices of coal in 2008. This caused one of our power company customers to suffer losses and as a result, it was unable to pay the interest in accordance with its loan contract with us. The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the production and supply of electric power, gas and water industry decreased to $1.77 \%$ as of June 30,2009 , primarily due to our continuous efforts to recover problem loans.

The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the leasing and commercial services industry decreased from 3.09\% as of December 31, 2006, to $1.40 \%$ as of December 31, 2007, $1.09 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 and $0.85 \%$ as of June 30, 2009. The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the wholesale and retail industry decreased from 5.00\% as of December 31, 2006 and 5.3\% as of December 31, 2007 to 2.02\% as of December 31, 2008 and $1.47 \% \%$ as of June 30, 2009. The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the education and public services industry decreased from $3.98 \%$ as of December 31, 2006, to $3.36 \%$ as of December 31, 2007, $1.72 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 and $0.07 \%$ as of June 30, 2009. The continuous decreases in the impaired loan ratio in these industries were mainly due to our increased efforts to recover impaired loans in these industries and the overall improvement in our loan quality.

The impaired loan ratio of our loans to the information transmission, IT services and software increased significantly from December 31, 2007 to June 30, 2009, primarily due to the slow-down of growth in these industries which has had a material adverse impact on certain borrowers in these businesses.

## Distribution of Impaired Loans by Geographical Region

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our impaired loans by geographical region.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | As of June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Impaired loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | \% of total | Impaired loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | \% of total | Impaired loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Impaired loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern China . | 4,759 | 80.8\% | 2.98\% | 4,172 | 61.6\% | \% 2.39\% | 4,316 | 54.5\% | \% 2.26\% | 4,297 | 55.1\% | 1.36\% |
| Eastern China | 393 | 6.7\% | 0.26\% | 655 | 9.7\% | 0.35\% | 1,434 | 18.1\% | 0.61\% | 1,982 | 25.4\% | 0.68\% |
| Southern China . | 586 | 9.9\% | 0.72\% | 1,705 | 25.2\% | 1.85\% | 1,801 | 22.7\% | 1.89\% | 1,118 | 14.3\% | 1.19\% |
| Others. | 155 | 2.6\% | 0.19\% | 241 | 3.5\% | - 0.24\% | 370 | 4.7\% | - 0.27\% | 404 | 5.2\% | 0.20\% |
| Total impaired loans | 5,893 | 100\% | 1.25\% | 6,773 | 100\% | - 1.22\% | 7,921 | 100\% | 1.20\% | 7,801 | 100\% | 0.86\% |

Note:
(1) Impaired loan ratios for each geographic region are calculated by dividing total impaired loans for each geographical region by total outstanding loans for that geographical region.

As of June 30, 2009, our impaired loan ratio for the Northern China region was the highest among all of our geographical regions. The impaired loan ratio for Northern China was (and has been historically) relatively higher because (i) many branches located in such region have operated for many years, and (ii) impaired loans in such region include those of our headquarters, particularly those impaired loans transferred to and handled by the Investment Banking SBU of our headquarters for recovery. The impaired loan ratio for the Northern China region decreased from $2.26 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 to $1.36 \%$ as of June 30, 2009, mainly because our Investment Banking SBU successfully recovered certain problem loans in the first half of 2009.

The amount of impaired loans in and the impaired loan ratio for Eastern China and Southern China increased during the Track Record Period, mainly reflecting the increase of impaired loans in certain types of enterprises located in these regions, such as export-oriented enterprises, manufacturers and real estate developers, as a result of the China's economic conditions as well as the impact of the global economic slowdown. The decrease of the amount of impaired loans and
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the impaired loan ratio in Southern China in the first six months of 2009 mainly reflected our efforts to recover impaired loans during that period.

## Distribution of Impaired Loans by Collateral

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our impaired loans by collateral type.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | As of June 30 , |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Impaired loan ratio | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { (in milli } \end{aligned}$ | Impaired loan ratio <br> ons of RMB | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{B, \text { except }}$ | \% of total percentage | Impaired loan ratio <br> s) | Amount | \% of total | Impaired loan ratio |
| Unsecured loans | 481 | 8.1\% | 0.44\% | 496 | 7.3\% | 0.33\% | 818 | 10.3\% | 0.47\% | 880 | 11.3\% | 0.33\% |
| Guaranteed Ioans | 3,492 | 59.3\% | 2.65\% | 3,171 | 46.8\% | 2.23\% | 2,320 | 29.3\% | 1.54\% | 2,381 | 30.5\% | 1.22\% |
| Secured loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Collateralized loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,533 | 26.0\% | 1.15\% | 2,788 | 41.2\% | 1.51\% | 4,066 | 51.3\% | 1.84\% | 4,230 | 54.2\% | 1.58\% |
| - Pledged loans ${ }^{(2)}$. . . | 387 | 6.6\% | 0.40\% | 318 | 4.7\% | 0.41\% | 717 | 9.1\% | 0.63\% | 310 | 4.0\% | 0.18\% |
| Total impaired loans to customer | 5,893 | 100\% | 1.25\% | 6,773 | 100\% | 1.22\% | 7,921 | 100\% | 1.20\% | 7,801 | 100\% | 0.86\% |

Notes:
(1) Represent loans secured by tangible assets remaining in the borrower's possession, which consist primarily of loans secured by real estate, plant and equipment.
(2) Consist primarily of loans secured by deposits, financial instruments (including discounted bills) and rights to future cash flows.

The impaired loan ratio for guaranteed loans decreased to $1.22 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 from $1.54 \%$ as of December 31, 2008, 2.23\% as of December 31, 2007 and $2.65 \%$ as of December 31, 2006. The decrease was attributable primarily to our efforts to implement stricter credit assessment standards for granting guaranteed loans and improved collections with respect to problem loans by us.

The amount of impaired loans and the impaired loan ratio for collateralized loans increased from 2006 to 2008 as indicated in the above table. Such increase was along with our business expansion. Loans to borrowers in the real estate industry account for a substantial portion of our collateralized loans. The amount of impaired loans and the impaired loan ratio for collateralized loans increased in 2007 and 2008, primarily reflected the impact of economic downturn on the real estate market and the impact of the PRC government's macroeconomic measures relating to the real estate industry, particularly on borrowers in the real estate industry which were highly leveraged and whose sources of funding were adversely affected by these measures. The impaired loan ratio for collateralized loans decreased from $1.84 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 to $1.58 \%$ as of June 30, 2009, mainly due to our efforts to recover impaired loans during that period.
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## Ten Largest Borrowers with Impaired Loans

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2009, our borrowers with the ten largest impaired loan balances outstanding.


## Loan Aging Schedule

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, our loan aging schedule for our loans to customers.

|  | f December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { (in millions }}{\text { Amount }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \% \text { of } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { f RMB, } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { except perc }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { ntages } \end{aligned}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Current loans. | 460,998 | 97.7\% | 544,007 | 98.0\% | 643,663 | 97.8\% | 892,465 | 98.7\% |
| Loans past due for ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 90 days | 3,353 | 0.7\% | 3,981 | 0.7\% | 5,597 | 0.9\% | 3,787 | 0.4\% |
| 91 days to 180 days | 963 | 0.2\% | 491 | 0.1\% | 2,911 | 0.4\% | 1,026 | 0.1\% |
| 181 days or more | 6,774 | 1.4\% | 6,480 | 1.2\% | 6,189 | 0.9\% | 6,656 | 0.7\% |
| Subtotal | 11,090 | 2.3\% | 10,952 | 2.0\% | 14,697 | 2.2\% | 11,469 | 1.3\% |
| Loans overdue 91 days | 7,737 | 1.6\% | 6,971 | 1.3\% | 9,100 | 1.3\% | 7,682 | 0.8\% |
| Total loans to customer | 472,088 | 100\% | 554,959 | 100\% | 658,360 | 100\% | 903,934 | 100\% |

Note:
(1) Represent the principal amount of the loans on which principal or interest is overdue. For loans that are repayable in installments, if any portion of the loan is overdue, the total amount of that loan is classified as overdue.

## Allowance for Impairment Losses on Loans to Customers

We assess our loans for impairment, determine a level of allowance for impairment losses, and recognize any related provisions made in a year, using the concept of impairment under IAS 39. Our loans are reported net of the allowance for impairment losses on our consolidated balance sheet. Where there is objective evidence of impairment as a result of events occurring after the initial recognition of loans which affect the estimated future cash flows of the loans, we perform
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individual assessments to determine the allowance for impairment losses against individually significant loans（which consist of corporate loans and discounted bills）．

The allowance for impairment losses of loans is measured as the difference between the carrying amounts and the estimated recoverable amounts of the loans．The estimated recoverable amounts are the present value of the estimated future cash flows of the loans，including，among other things，the recoverable value of the collateral．

Individually significant loans for which no evidence of impairment has been individually identified consist of performing loans（loans classified as＂normal＂and＂special mention＂）．These performing loans are treated as a single category and assessed collectively for the purpose of determining the allowance for impairment losses．Homogeneous groups of loans that are not considered individually significant represent retail loans．These loans are also collectively assessed for the purpose of determining the allowance for impairment losses．The allowance for impairment losses of the collectively assessed loans is determined primarily based on our historical loss experience in similar portfolios and on current economic conditions．

The number of overdue days is only one of the indicators that we consider when deciding the allowance for impairment losses．An overdue loan does not necessarily imply a full amount of impairment loss．Therefore，we do not make full allowance for impairment loss for overdue loans． Note 2.7 to the Accountants＇Report included as Appendix I to this prospectus sets out detailed disclosures with respect to the criteria that we use to determine objective evidence of an impairment loss．

In April 2002，the PBOC issued the Guidelines Regarding Allowance of Impairment Loss by Banks《銀行貸款損失準備計提指引》）．The provisions under the guidelines are made with reference to the PBOC＇s five－category loan classification system and therefore are different from the allowance for impairment losses we made pursuant to IAS39 and other related accounting rules．As of June 30， 2009，the difference between the allowance for impairment losses calculated according to the guidelines and the allowance for impairment losses calculated according to IAS39 and other related accounting rules was not material．As of the date of this prospectus，we comply with the requirement under the guidelines．

## Individual and Collective Assessment on Loans

We use two methods of assessing impairment losses：on an individual basis and on a collective basis．Individually assessed loans and advances are those considered individually significant． Collectively assessed loans and advances are those homogeneous groups of loans that are considered either individually insignificant or not considered impaired after assessment on an individual basis．We assess our non－performing corporate loans and discounted bills on an individual basis．We assess our（i）performing corporate loans and discounted bills（of which no impairment is identified on an individual basis）；and（ii）all retail loans，on a collective basis．

The following table sets forth，as of the dates indicated，our impaired loans assessed on an individual basis，representing non－performing corporate loans and discounted bills，and our impaired loans assessed on a collective basis，representing impaired retail loans．For details on
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individual and collective assessment of our loans, see "Financial Information - Critical Accounting Estimates and Judgments."

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually assessed. | 5,114 | 86.8\% | 5,690 | 84.0\% | 6,720 | 84.8\% | 6,529 | 83.7\% |
| Collectively assessed. | 779 | 13.2\% | 1,083 | 16.0\% | 1,201 | 15.2\% | 1,272 | 16.3\% |
| Total impaired loans. | 5,893 | 100\% | 6,773 | 100\% | 7,921 | 100\% | 7,801 | 100\% |

## Distribution of Allowance for Impairment Losses by Loan Classification

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the allocation of our allowance for impairment losses by loan classification category:

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | As of June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Allowance to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount |  | Allowance o loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount |  | lowance loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount |  | Allowance <br> loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | 2,556 | 39.8\% | \% 0.5\% | 3,537 | 46.1\% | 0.6\% | 7,186 | 60.5\% | 1.1\% | 8,075 | 60.9\% | 0.9\% |
| Substandard. | 712 | 11.1\% | 30.6\% | 522 | 6.8\% | 22.8\% | 1,162 | 9.8\% | 33.6\% | 874 | 6.6\% | 31.1\% |
| Doubtful | 1,788 | 27.9\% | 81.2\% | 1,859 | 24.3\% | 67.9\% | 2,264 | 19.0\% | 71.0\% | 1,783 | 13.5\% | 72.4\% |
| Loss. | 1,361 | 21.2\% | 99.9\% | 1,745 | 22.8\% | 100\% | 1,273 | 10.7\% | 100\% | 2,524 | 19.0\% | 100\% |
| Total allowance | 6,417 | 100\% | \% 1.4\% | 7,663 | 100\% | 1.4\% | 11,885 | 100\% | 1.8\% | 13,256 | 100\% | 1.5\% |

(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of the allowance for impairment losses on loans in each category by the total amount of loans in that category.

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the allocation of our allowance for impairment losses by product type and by loan classification category.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Allowance <br> to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Allowance <br> to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of A } \\ & \text { total t } \end{aligned}$ | llowance o loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | 2,204 | 34.3\% | 0.6\% | 2,975 | 38.8\% | 0.7\% | 5,885 | 49.5\% | 1.2\% | 6,858 | 51.7\% | 1.0\% |
| Substandard. | 633 | 9.9\% | 29.9\% | 421 | 5.5\% | 20.5\% | 1,038 | 8.7\% | 33.1\% | 723 | 5.5\% | 29.8\% |
| Doubtful | 1,500 | 23.4\% | 80.0\% | 1,575 | 20.6\% | 65.4\% | 1,926 | 16.2\% | 69.3\% | 1,340 | 10.1\% | 69.4\% |
| Loss. | 1,339 | 20.9\% | 99.9\% | 1,741 | 22.7\% | 100\% | 1,267 | 10.7\% | 100\% | 2,494 | 18.8\% | 100\% |
| Subtotal | 5,676 | 88.5\% | 1.6\% | 6,712 | 87.6\% | 1.6\% | 10,116 | 85.1\% | 2.1\% | 11,415 | 86.1\% | 1.7\% |
| Discounted bills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | 25 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 32 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 445 | 3.7\% | 0.7\% | 328 | 2.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Substandard. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | - | - | - |
| Doubtful | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38 | 0.3\% | 70.4\% | - | - |  |
| Loss. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Subtotal | 25 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 32 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 487 | 4.1\% | 0.8\% | 328 | 2.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Retail loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | 327 | 5.1\% | 0.5\% | 530 | 6.9\% | 0.5\% | 856 | 7.2\% | 0.8\% | 889 | 6.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Substandard. | 79 | 1.2\% | 37.1\% | 101 | 1.3\% | 42.6\% | 120 | 1.0\% | 39.2\% | 151 | 1.1\% | 38.8\% |
| Doubtful | 288 | 4.5\% | 88.1\% | 284 | 3.7\% | 86.6\% | 300 | 2.5\% | 84.7\% | 443 | 3.3\% | 83.1\% |
| Loss. | 22 | 0.3\% | 100\% | 4 | 0.1\% | 100\% | 6 | 0.1\% | 100\% | 30 | 0.2\% | 100\% |
| Subtotal | 716 | 11.1\% | 1.0\% | 919 | 12.0\% | 0.9\% | 1,282 | 10.8\% | 1.2\% | 1,513 | 11.4\% | 1.2\% |
| Total allowance | $\underline{6,417}$ | 100\% | 1.4\% | 7,663 | 100\% | 1.4\% | $\underline{\underline{11,885}}$ | 100\% | 1.8\% | 13,256 | 100\% | 1.5\% |

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Note:
(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of the allowance for impairment losses on loans in each category by the totalamount of loans in that category.
Changes to the Allowance for Impairment LossesThe following table sets forth, for the years indicated, the changes to the allowance forimpairment losses on loans to customers.
Amount,
(in millions of RMB)
As of January 1, 2006 ..... 4,839
New allowance, net ${ }^{(1)}$ ..... 2,158
Amount written off during the year as uncollectible ..... (437)
Transfers out ${ }^{(2)}$-
Recovery after write-off
(138)
Unwinding of discount on allowance ${ }^{(3)}$(5)
Exchange difference
6,417
As of December 31, 2006
2,236
New allowance, net ${ }^{(1)}$
(792)
Amount written off during the year as uncollectible
(35)
(35)
Transfers out ${ }^{(2)}$
Transfers out ${ }^{(2)}$
10
10
Recovery after write-off
Recovery after write-off .....
(160) .....
(160)
Exchange difference ..... (13)
As of December 31, 2007 ..... 7,663
As of December 31, 2007 ..... 7,663
New allowance, net ${ }^{(1)}$ ..... 5,686
Amount written off during the year as uncollectible ..... $(1,340)$
Transfers out ${ }^{(2)}$-
Recovery after write-off ..... 56
Unwinding of discount on allowance ${ }^{(3)}$ ..... (164)
Exchange difference ..... (16)
As of December 31, 2008 ..... 11,885
New allowance, net ${ }^{(1)}$ ..... 2,405
Amount written off during the year as uncollectible ..... (911)
Transfers out ${ }^{(2)}$-
Recovery after write-off ..... 28
Unwinding of discount on allowance ${ }^{(3)}$ ..... (151)
As of June 30, 2009 ..... 13,256

## Notes:

(1) Represents the amount of new allowance provided during the relevant period, after deducting the amount of releases from loan loss allowances during the same period.
(2) Represent the amount of the related allowance transferred out along with the loans sold by our Bank to others.
(3) Allowances are calculated based on discounted cash flow. As time goes by, the present value of future cash flow increases, and the allowances are released accordingly.

June 30, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008. Our allowance for impairment losses as of June 30, 2009 was 13.3 billion, an increase of $11.5 \%$ from RMB11.9 billion as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to new allowance provided for the period.

The net new allowance provided for the first half of 2009 amounted to RMB2.4 billion, primarily due to the overall growth of our total loan portfolio.
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The amount of loans written off during the first half of 2009 amounted to RMB0.9 billion, primarily due to the increase of the loans that met our requirements for write-off.

2008 Compared to 2007. Our allowance for impairment losses as of December 31, 2008 was RMB11.9 billion, an increase of $55.1 \%$ from RMB7.7 billion as of December 31, 2007, primarily due to new allowance provided for the year, partially offset by a reduction of provisions resulting from a greater amount of loans written off in 2008 than 2007.

The net new allowance provided for the year increased by 154.3\% to RMB5.7 billion in 2008 compared to RMB2.2 billion in 2007, primarily due to a combination of (i) the overall growth of our total loan portfolio, and (ii) the more conservative approach to provisions, reflecting our evaluation of the current macroeconomic environment.

The amount of loans written off during the year as uncollectible increased by $69.2 \%$ to RMB1.3 billion in 2008 from RMB0.8 billion in 2007, primarily due to the increase of the loans that met our requirements for write-off, including among other things, certain loans to companies located in Sichuan Province, which had suffered losses as a result of the earthquake in such province in 2008.

2007 Compared to 2006. Our allowance for impairment losses as of December 31, 2007 was RMB7.7 billion, an increase of $19.4 \%$ from RMB6.4 billion as of December 31, 2006, primarily due to new allowance provided for the year, partially offset by a reduction of the provisions resulting from a greater amount of loans written off in 2007 than 2006.

The net new allowance provided for the year increased by RMB78 million to RMB2.2 billion in 2007 compared to the new allowance provided for 2006, primarily due to the overall growth of our total loan portfolio.

The amount of written off of the allowance resulting from loan write-offs increased by $81.2 \%$ to RMB0.8 billion in loans 2007 from RMB0.4 billion in 2006, primarily due to the increase of the loans that met our requirements for write-off.

In 2007, recoveries of loans previously written off amounted to RMB10 million, which was primarily due to our increased focus on collection efforts.

Primarily as a result of the above factors, the coverage ratio of our total allowance for impairment losses to total non-performing loans increased to $169.9 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 from $150.0 \%$ as of December 31, 2008, 113.1\% as of December 31, 2007 and 108.9\% as of December 31, 2006.
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## Distribution of Allowance for Impairment Losses by Product Type

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the allowance for impairment losses by product type.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | As of June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\%$ of total | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short term loans | 3,781 | 58.9\% | 116.5\% | 3,939 | 51.4\% | 122.5\% | 4,906 | 41.3\% | 152.6\% | 4,903 | 37.0\% | 143.5\% |
| Medium- and long-term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loans. | 1,895 | 29.5\% | 90.8\% | 2,773 | 36.2\% | 92.8\% | 5,210 | 43.8\% | 131.2\% | 6,512 | 49.1\% | 189.7\% |
| Subtotal | 5,676 | 88.5\% | 106.5\% | 6,712 | 87.6\% | 108.2\% | 10,116 | 85.1\% | 140.8\% | 11,415 | 86.1\% | 166.7\% |
| Discounted bills | 25 | 0.4\% | N/A ${ }^{(2)}$ | 32 | 0.4\% | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ | 487 | 4.1\% | 705.8\% | 328 | 2.5\% | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ |
| Retail loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card advances . | 30 | 0.5\% | 428.6\% | 105 | 1.4\% | 420.0\% | 309 | 2.6\% | 198.1\% | 479 | 3.6\% | 114.6\% |
| Others | 90 | 1.4\% | 123.3\% | 9 | 0.1\% | 150.0\% | 112 | 1.0\% | 189.8\% | 51 | 0.4\% | 188.9\% |
| Subtotal | 716 | 11.2\% | 127.4\% | 919 | 12.0\% | 161.5\% | 1,282 | 10.8\% | 192.5\% | 1,513 | 11.4\% | 158.9\% |
| Total allowance | 6,417 | 100\% | 108.9\% | 7,663 | 100\% | 113.1\% | 11,885 | 100\% | 150.0\% | 13,256 | 100\% | 169.9\% |

## Notes:

(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of the allowance for impairment losses on loans in each category by the amount of impaired loans in that category.
(2) No impaired loan was recorded.
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## Distribution of Allowance for Impairment Losses for Corporate Loans by Industry

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the allowance for impairment losses for our corporate loans by industry.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June } 30,}{2009}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | Allowance toimpairedloans $^{(1)}$ |  |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 2,000 | 35.2\% | 96.7\% | 2,069 | 30.8\% | 113.7\% | 2,045 | 20.2\% | 143.3\% | 2,417 | 21.3\% | 138.7\% |
| Real estate | 589 | 10.4\% | 94.7\% | 975 | 14.5\% | 58.6\% | 2,013 | 19.9\% | 90.3\% | 2,218 | 19.4\% | 109.8\% |
| Transportation, storage and post services. | 261 | 4.6\% | 2,610.0\% | 366 | 5.5\% | 351.9\% | 861 | 8.5\% | 144.7\% | 820 | 7.2\% | 1,822.2\% |
| Leasing and commercial services | 394 | 6.9\% | 69.0\% | 358 | 5.3\% | 101.4\% | 1,043 | 10.3\% | 200.6\% | 1,232 | 10.8\% | 183.9\% |
| Water, environment and public utility management . . . . . . | 208 | 3.7\% | $N / A^{(2)}$ | 275 | 4.1\% | $N / A^{(2)}$ | 481 | 4.8\% | $N / A^{(2)}$ | 629 | 5.5\% | $N / A^{(2)}$ |
| Production and supply of electric power, gas and water | 266 | 4.7\% | 298.9\% | 413 | 6.2\% | 469.3\% | 1,210 | 12.0\% | 115.6\% | 1,426 | 12.5\% | 146.4\% |
| Mining | 82 | 1.4\% | 455.6\% | 131 | 2.0\% | 727.8\% | 337 | 3.3\% | 3,063.6\% | 334 | 2.9\% | 3,036.4\% |
| Public management and social organizations. | 60 | 1.1\% | N/A ${ }^{(2)}$ | 140 | 2.1\% | $N / A^{(2)}$ | 167 | 1.7\% | N/A ${ }^{(2)}$ | 301 | 2.6\% | N/A ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| Construction. | 139 | 2.4\% | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ | 180 | 2.7\% | N/A ${ }^{(2)}$ | 308 | 3.0\% | 993.5\% | 297 | 2.6\% | 424.3\% |
| Wholesale and retail | 676 | 11.9\% | 79.9\% | 820 | 12.2\% | 72.8\% | 493 | 4.9\% | 122.6\% | 474 | 4.2\% | 127.4\% |
| Education and public services. | 423 | 7.5\% | 73.4\% | 458 | 6.8\% | 81.3\% | 564 | 5.6\% | 246.3\% | 64 | 0.6\% | 426.7\% |
| Financing | 95 | 1.7\% | 1,187.5\% | 128 | 1.9\% | 154.2\% | 39 | 0.4\% | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ | 43 | 0.4\% | N/A ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| Information transmission, IT services and software. | 237 | 4.2\% | 101.7\% | 181 | 2.7\% | 90\% | 342 | 3.4\% | 82.8\% | 436 | 3.8\% | 105.8\% |
| Others | 246 | 4.3\% | 84.8\% | 218 | 3.2\% | 117.8\% | 213 | 2.1\% | 75.8\% | 724 | 6.3\% | 140.0\% |
| Total | 5,676 | 100\% | 106.5\% | 6,712 | 100\% | 108.2\% | 10,116 | 100\% | 140.8\% | 11,415 | 100\% | 166.7\% |

Notes:
(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of the allowance for impairment losses on loans in each category by the amount of impaired loans in that category.
(2) No non-performing loans recorded.

## Distribution of Allowance for Impairment Losses by Geographical Region

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the allocation of our allowance for impairment losses by geographical region.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | As of June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\%$ of total | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\%$ of <br> total | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ $\qquad$ | Amount | $\%$ of total | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | $\%$ of total | Allowance to impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern China | 4,070 | 63.4\% | 85.5\% | 3,980 | 51.9\% | 95.4\% | 5,051 | 42.5\% | 117.0\% | 5,743 | 43.3\% | 133.7\% |
| Eastern China | 1,101 | 17.1\% | 280.2\% | 1,614 | 21.1\% | 246.4\% | 3,251 | 27.4\% | 226.7\% | 3,893 | 29.4\% | 196.4\% |
| Southern China | 678 | 10.6\% | 115.7\% | 1,226 | 16.0\% | 71.9\% | 1,796 | 15.1\% | 99.7\% | 1,469 | 11.1\% | 131.4\% |
| Others. | 568 | 8.9\% | 366.5\% | 843 | 11.0\% | 349.8\% | 1,787 | 15.0\% | 483.0\% | 2,151 | 16.2\% | 532.4\% |
| Total | 6,417 | 100\% | 108.9\% | 7,663 | 100\% | 113.1\% | 11,885 | 100\% | 150.0\% | 13,256 | 100\% | 169.9\% |

Note:
(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of allowance for impairment losses on loans in each category by the amount of impaired loans in that category.
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## Investment Securities and Trading Assets

Our investment securities and trading assets consist of listed and unlisted Renminbi- and foreign-denominated securities. Investment securities and trading assets represented $11.2 \%$. $12.7 \%, 17.0 \%$ and $13.9 \%$ of our total assets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We classify our investment securities and trading assets into (i) financial assets at fair value through profit or loss, (ii) available-for-sale financial assets, (iii) held-to-maturity financial assets and (iv) loans and receivables, primarily based on our intentions with respect to these assets and pursuant to the requirements of IAS 39. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the components of our investment securities and trading assets.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Amount <br> n millions | \% of total <br> f RMB, | Amount <br> xcept perc | \% of total <br> entages) | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Trading assets | - | - | 2,572 | 1.6\% | 4,405 | 3.3\% | 7,261 | 4.6\% |
| Available-for-sale securities ${ }^{(1)}$ | 50,162 | 49.8\% | 60,715 | 38.8\% | 53,597 | 40.1\% | 65,042 | 41.3\% |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 40,302 | 40.0\% | 45,816 | 29.3\% | 38,716 | 28.9\% | 46,494 | 29.6\% |
| Loans and receivables | 10,228 | 10.2\% | 47,449 | 30.3\% | 37,066 | 27.7\% | 38,516 | 24.5\% |
| Total | 100,692 | 100\% | 156,552 | 100\% | 133,784 | 100\% | 157,313 | 100\% |

## Note:

(1) Our allowance to the subprime mortgage securities as of December 31, 2006, 2007, 2008 and June 30, 2009 were nil, nil, RMB50 million and RMB63 million, respectively, relating to our subprime mortgage securities gross balance of RMB78 million, RMB73 million, RMB68 million and RMB68 million, respectively.

The majority of our investment securities and trading assets are debt securities. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the components of our investment in debt securities.

## Debt Securities

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Amount total |  | Amount <br> except per | \% of total <br> entages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Government and quasigovernment bonds | 83,918 | 84.3\% | 100,187 | 68.6\% | 99,839 | 76.8\% | 111,687 | 71.1\% |
| Financial institution bonds | 11,162 | 11.2\% | 35,705 | 24.5\% | 20,809 | 16.0\% | 21,758 | 13.9\% |
| Corporate bonds | 4,417 | 4.5\% | 10,104 | 6.9\% | 9,375 | 7.2\% | 23,644 | 15.0\% |
| Total debt securities | 99,497 | 100\% | 145,996 | 100\% | 130,023 | 100\% | 157,089 | 100\% |

The portion of government and quasi-government bonds decreased from $84.3 \%$ as of December 31, 2006 to $68.6 \%$ as of December 31, 2007, while the portion of financial institution bonds increased from $11.2 \%$ as of December 31, 2006 to $24.5 \%$ as of December 31, 2007. The increase of the percentage of financial institution bonds in 2007 was due to our increased holdings in certain short-term bonds issued by other financial institutions to achieve a better investment return. Most of such bonds were of a maturity of one year or less. The portion of government and quasi-government bonds increased from $68.6 \%$ as of December 31, 2007 to $76.8 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 and in turn to $71.1 \%$ as of June 30, 2009, while the portion of financial institution bonds decreased from $24.5 \%$ as of December 31, 2007 to $16.0 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 and in turn to $13.9 \%$ as of June 30, 2009. In the first half of 2009, we increased our investment in certain corporate bonds with high investment yields to increase our investment return. As a result,
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the portion of corporate bonds increased to $15.0 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 from 7.2\% as of December 31, 2008.

## Maturity Profile of Investment Portfolio

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2009, our investment securities and trading assets by remaining maturity.

|  | As of June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Due less than 3 months | Due over 3 months to 1 year | Due between 1 and 5 years | Due more than 5 years | Overdue | Total |
|  | (in millions of RMB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading assets ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,514 | 1,523 | 3,435 | 789 | - | 7,261 |
| Available-for-sale securities ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3,747 | 8,702 | 35,615 | 16,978 | - | 65,042 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 2,540 | 6,466 | 29,790 | 7,698 | - | 46,494 |
| Loans and receivables | 3,781 | 4,341 | 23,184 | 7,210 | - | 38,516 |
| Total. | $\underline{\underline{11,582}}$ | $\underline{\underline{21,032}}$ | $\underline{\underline{92,024}}$ | 32,675 | - | $\underline{\underline{157,313}}$ |

Note:
(1) The remaining maturities of these financial assets are the remaining contract maturities of such assets and do not necessarily represent our intentions with respect to such assets.

## Carrying Value and Market Value

All investment securities and trading assets classified as available-for-sale and all financial assets at fair value through profit or loss are stated at market value or fair value. The following table sets forth, as of the dates indicated, the carrying value and the market value of the held-to-maturity financial assets in our investment portfolio.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Carrying value | Market/ fair value | Carrying value | Market/ fair value | Carrying value | Market/ fair value | Carrying value | Market/ fair value |
|  | (in millions of RMB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Held-to-maturity financial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Since there is no established market for the loans and receivables in our investment portfolio and we expect to realize the full face value of these loans and receivables upon the maturity, we do not assess market value or fair value of these loans and receivables.

## Investment Concentration

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2009, our ten largest holdings of investment securities.

|  | Issuer | As of June 30, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Carrying value | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of total } \\ \text { shareholders' } \\ \text { equity }{ }^{(1)} \end{gathered}$ | Market/ Fair value |
|  |  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Investment A. | PBOC | 9,500 | 6.0\% | 16.4\% | 9,713 |
| Investment B . | PBOC | 5,000 | 3.2\% | 8.6\% | 5,131 |
| Investment C. | PBOC | 4,520 | 2.9\% | 7.8\% | 4,658 |
| Investment D. | MOF | 3,787 | 2.4\% | 6.5\% | 3,825 |
| Investment E. | PBOC | 3,500 | 2.2\% | 6.0\% | 3,587 |
| Investment F . | MOF | 2,967 | 1.9\% | 5.1\% | 3,039 |
| Investment G. | $\mathrm{CDB}^{(2)}$ | 2,780 | 1.8\% | 4.8\% | 2,809 |
| Investment H. | $\mathrm{CDB}^{(2)}$ | 2,776 | 1.8\% | 4.8\% | 2,903 |
| Investment I | MOF | 1,849 | 1.2\% | 3.2\% | 1,881 |
| Investment J | MOF | 1,635 | 1.0\% | 2.8\% | 1,646 |
| Total. |  | 38,314 | 24.4\% | 66.0\% | 39,192 |

Notes:
(1) For calculation of total shareholders' equity, see "Financial Information - Capital Resources — Shareholders' Equity."
(2) China Development Bank

## Other Components of Our Assets

Other components of our assets consist primarily of (i) balances with central bank, (ii) placements with banks and other financial institutions, and (iii) cash and due from banks and other financial institutions.

Balances with central bank consist primarily of statutory deposit reserves and surplus deposit reserves. Statutory deposit reserves represent the minimum level of cash deposits that we are required to maintain at the PBOC. The minimum level is determined as a percentage of our deposits from customers. Surplus deposit reserves are deposits with the PBOC in excess of statutory deposit reserves. As of June 30, 2009, our balances with the PBOC amounted to RMB134.6 billion, a decrease of $26.0 \%$ from RMB181.9 billion as of December 31, 2008, primarily because we increased the placements with other banks to increase return. As of December 31, 2008, our balances with the PBOC amounted to RMB181.9 billion, an increase of $70.0 \%$ from RMB107.0 billion as of December 31, 2007, primarily reflecting the sufficiency of our liquidity at the end of 2008. As of December 31, 2007, our balances with the PBOC amounted to RMB107.0 billion, a slight increase of $1.7 \%$ from RMB105.2 billion as of December 31, 2006, which was in line with the increase in our deposits from customers. For the discussion of the change in the average balances of balance with central bank and its impact on our interest income, see "Financial Information - Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 - Net Interest Income".

Placements with banks and other financial institutions consist primarily of inter-bank placements and balances under resale agreements. Our placements with banks and other financial institutions, net of the allowance for impairment losses, increased significantly by $233.1 \%$ to RMB174.6 billion as of June 30, 2009 from RMB52.4 billion as of December 31, 2008. The increase reflected the sufficency of our liquidity as of June 30, 2009, primarily attributable to the significant increases in deposits from customers in the first half of 2009. Placements with banks and other financial institutions, net of the allowance for impairment losses, decreased by $34.7 \%$ to RMB52.4 billion as of December 31, 2008 from RMB80.2 billion as of December 31, 2007, mainly
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because we allocated our funds to other categories of assets at the end of 2008. Placements with banks and other financial institutions, net of the allowance for impairment losses, increased by $149.6 \%$ from RMB32.1 billion as of December 31, 2006 to RMB80.2 billion as of December 31, 2007, primarily because of the expansion of our inter-bank business in 2007. For a discussion of the change in the average balances of amounts placements with banks and other financial institutions and its impact on our interest income, see "Financial Information - Results of Operations - Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 - Net Interest Income."

Cash and due from banks and other financial institutions increased to RMB24.1 billion as of June 30, 2009 from RMB17.6 billion as of December 31, 2008, RMB12.0 billion as of December 31, 2007 and 11.6 billion as of December 31, 2006. The increase was in line with our business expansion and reflected the sufficiency of our liquidity as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009. For a discussion of the change in the average balances of amounts due from banks and other financial institutions and its impact on our interest income, see "Financial Information-Results of Operations - Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 - Net Interest Income."

## LIABILITIES AND SOURCES OF FUNDS

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the components of our total liabilities.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> (in million | \% of total <br> of RMB, | Amount <br> except per | \% of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Deposits from customers | 583,315 | 82.7\% | 671,219 | 77.3\% | 785,786 | 78.6\% | 1,075,309 | 79.5\% |
| Due to and placements from banks and other financial institutions | 91,821 | 13.0\% | 150,253 | 17.3\% | 160,248 | 16.0\% | 221,090 | 16.4\% |
| Financial bonds. | 10,163 | 1.4\% | 22,378 | 2.6\% | 22,459 | 2.3\% | 11,995 | 0.9\% |
| Subordinated bonds | 7,238 | 1.0\% | 7,253 | 0.8\% | 7,252 | 0.7\% | 7,432 | 0.5\% |
| Hybrid capital bonds. | 4,289 | 0.6\% | 4,289 | 0.5\% | 4,288 | 0.4\% | 9,450 | 0.7\% |
| Others | 8,950 | 1.3\% | 13,258 | 1.5\% | 19,645 | 2.0\% | 26,686 | 2.0\% |
| Total liabilities | 705,776 | 100\% | 868,650 | 100\% | 999,678 | 100\% | 1,351,962 | 100\% |

Our total liabilities increased by 35.2\% to RMB1,352.0 billion as of June 30, 2009 from RMB999.7 billion as of December 31, 2008, which in turn increased from RMB868.7 billion as of December 31, 2007 and RMB705.8 billion as of December 31, 2006, respectively. The significant increase in our total liabilities in the first half of 2009 was mainly attributable to the increase in the amount of deposits from customers. Deposits from customers have historically been our primary source of funding and represented $79.5 \%, 78.6 \%, 77.3 \%$ and $82.7 \%$ of our total liabilities as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
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## Deposits from Customers

We provide demand and time deposit products to our customers. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, our deposits from customers by product type and customer type.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount <br> (in million | \% of total of RMB, | Amount <br> except per | \% of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Corporate deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand | 214,964 | 36.9\% | 265,047 | 39.5\% | 297,243 | 37.8\% | 387,581 | 36.0\% |
| Time | 273,681 | 46.9\% | 298,638 | 44.5\% | 352,275 | 44.8\% | 509,128 | 47.4\% |
| Subtotal | 488,645 | 83.8\% | 563,685 | 84.0\% | 649,518 | 82.6\% | 896,709 | 83.4\% |
| Retail deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand | 30,605 | 5.2\% | 30,185 | 4.5\% | 33,599 | 4.3\% | 40,520 | 3.8\% |
| Time | 64,065 | 11.0\% | 77,349 | 11.5\% | 102,669 | 13.1\% | 138,080 | 12.8\% |
| Subtotal | 94,670 | 16.2\% | 107,534 | 16.0\% | 136,268 | 17.4\% | 178,600 | 16.6\% |
| Total deposits from customers | $\underline{\underline{583,315}}$ | 100\% | $\underline{\underline{671,219}}$ | 100\% | $\underline{\underline{785,786}}$ | 100\% | $\underline{\underline{1,075,309}}$ | $\underline{\underline{100}} \%$ |

Total deposits from our customers increased by 36.8\% to RMB1,075.3 billion as of June 30, 2009 from RMB785.8 billion as of December 31, 2008, which in turn increased from RMB671.2 billion as of December 31, 2007 and RMB583.3 billion as of December 31, 2006, respectively.

## Distribution of Deposits by Geographical Region

We classify deposits geographically based on the location of the branches or the SBUs taking the deposit. There is generally a high correlation between the location of the depositor and the location of the branch taking the deposit, except in the case of our headquarters, which takes negotiated deposits from customers throughout China. The following table sets forth, as of the dates indicated, the distribution of our deposits from customers by geographical region.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of June 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount (in million | \% of total <br> of RMB, | Amount <br> except pe | $\%$ of total <br> ntages) | Amount | \% of total |
| Northern China | 205,929 | 35.3\% | 244,588 | 36.4\% | 277,593 | 35.3\% | 375,068 | 34.9\% |
| Eastern China | 175,075 | 30.0\% | 190,615 | 28.4\% | 257,151 | 32.7\% | 337,930 | 31.4\% |
| Southern China | 106,773 | 18.3\% | 102,402 | 15.3\% | 122,304 | 15.6\% | 168,177 | 15.6\% |
| Others | 95,538 | 16.4\% | 133,614 | 19.9\% | 128,738 | 16.4\% | 194,134 | 18.1\% |
| Total deposits from customers | $\underline{\underline{583,315}}$ | 100\% | $\underline{\underline{671,219}}$ | 100\% | $\underline{\underline{785,786}}$ | 100\% | $\underline{\underline{1,075,309}}$ | 100\% |
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## Distribution of Deposits by Remaining Maturity

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2009, the distribution of our deposits from customers by remaining maturity.

|  | As of June 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Repayable on demand |  | Due less than 1 month |  | Due over 1 month up to 3 months |  | Due over 3 months up to 12 months |  | Due over 1 year up to 5 years |  | Due more than 5 years |  | Total |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { (in mil }}$ | $\%$ of total <br> illions of | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { RMB, excep }}$ | $\%$ of total <br> pt percen | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { tages) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Corporate deposits | 461,407 | 85.6\% | 57,532 | 86.8\% | 149,700 | 86.2\% | 153,977 | 73.5\% | 73,713 | 85.3\% | 380 | 100\% | 896,709 |
| Retail deposits | 77,813 | 14.4\% | 8,781 | 13.2\% | 23,910 | 13.8\% | 55,400 | 26.5\% | 12,696 | 14.7\% | - | 0\% | 178,600 |
| Total deposits from customers. | 539,220 | 100\% | 66,313 | 100\% | 173,610 | 100\% | 209,377 | 100\% | 86,409 | 100\% | 380 | 100\% | 1,075,309 |

## Distribution of Deposits by Currency

The following table sets forth the distribution of our deposits from customers by currency as of June 30, 2009.

|  | As of June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  |  |  |
|  | RMB | USD | Others | Total |
|  | (in millions of RMB) |  |  |  |
| Corporate deposits | 882,207 | 12,895 | 1,607 | 896,709 |
| Retail deposits | 174,747 | 2,427 | 1,426 | 178,600 |
| Total deposits from customers | 1,056,954 | 15,322 | 3,033 | 1,075,309 |

## Other Components of Our Liabilities

Other components of our liabilities consist primarily of (i) amounts due to and placements from banks and other financial institutions, and (ii) issued debt securities. Amounts due to and placements from banks and other financial institutions increased significantly by $38.0 \%$ to RMB221.1 billion as of June 30, 2009 from RMB160.2 billion as of December 31, 2008. Amounts due to and placements from banks and other financial institutions increased by $6.7 \%$ to RMB160.2 billion as of December 31, 2008 from RMB150.3 billion as of December 31, 2007, which in turn increased by 63.6\% from RMB91.8 billion as of December 31, 2006. The increase in amounts due to and placements from banks and other financial institutions reflected our continuous efforts to expand our inter-bank borrowing business.

For a description of our issued debt securities, see "Corporate History and Organizational Structure."
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## SELECTED, UNAUDITED ASSETS AND LIABILITIES INFORMATION AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

Under the rules of the Shanghai Stock Exchange on which our A Shares are listed, we are required to publish reports containing unaudited financial statements as of and for the nine months ended September 30 of each year. Because we publish certain financial statements as of and for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009 in the PRC prior to the date of this prospectus, we have included our unaudited condensed financial statements as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 in this prospectus. The unaudited condensed financial statements, comprising a statement of comprehensive income for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and a balance sheet as of September 30, 2009, together with selected explanatory notes, are included in Appendix II to this prospectus. They have been prepared in accordance with IAS 34 and reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers in accordance with ISRE 2410.

## Assets

As of September 30, 2009, our total assets amounted to RMB1,402.9 billion, a $33.1 \%$ increase compared to RMB1,054.4 billion as of December 31, 2008, mainly reflecting the growth in loans to customers. In the third quarter of 2009, we shifted our focus from loan growth to optimization of our loan portfolio. Accordingly, our total assets decreased slightly by RMB7.1 billion from RMB1,410.0 billion as of June 30, 2009 to RMB1,402.9 billion as of September 30, 2009. The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the components of our total assets.

|  | As of December 31, |  | As of September 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Loans to customers, gross | 658,360 | 62.4\% | 893,543 | 63.7\% |
| Allowance for impairment losses | $(11,885)$ | (1.1)\% | $(13,535)$ | (1.0) \% |
| Loans to customers, net | 646,475 | 61.3\% | 880,008 | 62.7\% |
| Investment securities and trading assets | 133,784 | 12.7\% | 154,057 | 11.0\% |
| Balances with central banks | 181,878 | 17.2\% | 164,538 | 11.7\% |
| Placements with banks and other financial institutions, net ${ }^{(1)}$ | 52,408 | 5.0\% | 114,381 | 8.2\% |
| Cash and due from banks and other financial institutions ${ }^{(2)}$ | 17,648 | 1.7\% | 53,517 | 3.8\% |
| Other assets ${ }^{(3)}$ | 22,157 | 2.1\% | 36,435 | 2.6\% |
| Total assets | 1,054,350 | 100.0\% | 1,402,936 | 100.0\% |

Notes:
(1) Placements with banks and other financial institutions are net of the related allowance for impairment losses of RMB92 million and RMB34 million as of December 31, 2008 and as of September 30, 2009, respectively.
(2) We did not record any related allowance for impairment losses for cash and due from banks and other financial institutions as of December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009, respectively.
(3) Other assets consist primarily of property, plant and equipment, finance lease receivables, deferred income tax assets, etc.

## Loans to Customers

Our total loans to customers increased by 35.7\% to RMB893.5 billion as of September 30, 2009 from RMB658.4 billion as of December 31, 2008. The following discussion is based on our total loans to customers, before taking into account the related allowance for impairment losses.
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## Distribution of Loans by Product Type

The following table sets forth，at the dates indicated，our loans to customers by product type．

|  | As of December 31， |  | As of September 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
|  | （in millions of RMB，except（unaudited） |  |  |  |
| Corporate loans | 485，858 | 73．8\％ | 686，016 | 76．8\％ |
| Discounted bills | 63，931 | 9．7\％ | 61，333 | 6．9\％ |
| Retail loans | 108，571 | 16．5\％ | 146，194 | 16．4\％ |
| Total loans to | 658，360 | 100．0\％ | 893，543 | 100．0\％ |

Our corporate loans increased by 41．2\％to RMB686．0 billion as of September 30， 2009 from RMB485．9 billion as of December 31，2008．The market demand for corporate loans increased significantly in the first three quarters of 2009 due to the PRC government＇s fiscal stimulus measures to boost economic growth．

After a significant increase in the first half of 2009 from RMB63．9 billion as of December 31， 2008 to RMB115．9 billion as of June 30，2009，discounted bills decreased to RMB61．3 billion as of September 30，2009．The decrease was mainly due to the fact that significant amount of discounted bills issued during the first and the second quarters of 2009 became due in the third quarter．In addition，the demand for short term financing through discounted bills decreased in the third quarter of 2009 as the demand for corporate loans increased．As a result of the decrease in discounted bills，our total loans to customers decreased slightly by 1．1\％from RMB903．9 billion as of June 30， 2009 to RMB893．5 billion as of September 30， 2009.

Retail loans increased by $34.7 \%$ to RMB146．2 billion as of September 30， 2009 from RMB108．6 billion as of December 31，2008，primarily due to our continued focus on expanding our retail loan business，in particular，the increase in our＂Shang Dai Tong＂（商貸通）loans．As of September 30，2009，we had an outstanding balance of RMB32．1 billion of＂Shang Dai Tong＂ （商貸通）loans．
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## Borrower Concentration

The following table sets forth, as of September 30, 2009, the loans to our ten largest single borrowers, all of which were performing at that date.

|  | As of September 30, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Industry | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { total loans } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% of regulatory capital |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Borrower A | Water, environment and public utility management | 7,570 | 0.8\% | 8.9\% |
| Borrower B | Water, environment and public utility management | 7,000 | 0.8\% | 8.2\% |
| Borrower C | Leasing and commercial services | 5,041 | 0.6\% | 5.9\% |
| Borrower D | Leasing and commercial services | 3,200 | 0.4\% | 3.8\% |
| Borrower E | Public management and social organizations | 3,100 | 0.3\% | 3.6\% |
| Borrower F | Leasing and commercial services | 3,000 | 0.3\% | 3.5\% |
| Borrower G | Leasing and commercial services | 3,000 | 0.3\% | 3.5\% |
| Borrower H | Real estate | 2,900 | 0.3\% | 3.4\% |
| Borrower I | Public management and social organizations | 2,500 | 0.3\% | 2.9\% |
| Borrower J | Transportation, storage and post service | 2,500 | 0.3\% | 2.9\% |
| Total |  | 39,811 | 4.4\% | 46.8\% |

## Note:

(1) Represents loan amounts as a percentage of our regulatory capital. See "Supervision and Regulation — PRC Banking Supervision and Regulation - Supervision Over Capital Adequacy."

The following table sets forth, as of September 30, 2009, the loans to our ten largest group borrowers (excluding single borrowers), all of which were performing at that date.

|  | As of September 30, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Industry | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { total loans } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of regulatory } \\ \text { capital } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Borrower A | Production and supply of electric power, gas and water | 5,491 | 0.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Borrower B | Production and supply of electric power, gas and water | 3,760 | 0.4\% | 4.4\% |
| Borrower C | Production and supply of electric power, gas and water | 3,270 | 0.4\% | 3.8\% |
| Borrower D | Leasing and commercial services | 3,200 | 0.4\% | 3.8\% |
| Borrower E. | Manufacturing | 3,140 | 0.4\% | 3.7\% |
| Borrower F | Transportation, storage and post service | 3,101 | 0.4\% | 3.6\% |
| Borrower G | Transportation, storage and post service | 2,771 | 0.3\% | 3.3\% |
| Borrower H | Manufacturing | 2,680 | 0.3\% | 3.1\% |
| Borrower I | Production and supply of electric power, gas and water | 2,365 | 0.3\% | 2.8\% |
| Borrower J | Manufacturing | 2,360 | 0.3\% | 2.8\% |
| Total |  | 32,138 | 3.8\% | 37.8\% |
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Note:
(1) Represents loan amounts as a percentage of our regulatory capital. See "Supervision and Regulation - PRC Banking Supervision and Regulation - Supervision Over Capital Adequacy."

## Asset Quality of Our Loan Portfolio

## Distribution of Loans by Loan Classification

The following tables set forth, at the dates indicated, the distribution of our loan portfolio by loan classification category. We use the term "non-performing loans" and "impaired loans" synonymously to refer to the loans identified as "impaired loans" in Note 3.(2).f.(iii) to the Accountant's Report in Appendix I to this prospectus. See "Definitions." Under our five-category loan classification system, our non-performing loans are classified as substandard, doubtful or loss, as applicable.

|  | As of December 31, |  | As of September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Normal | 634,073 | 96.3\% | 873,179 | 97.7\% |
| Special mention | 16,366 | 2.5\% | 13,079 | 1.5\% |
| Substandard | 3,459 | 0.5\% | 2,854 | 0.3\% |
| Doubtful | 3,189 | 0.5\% | 2,347 | 0.3\% |
| Loss | 1,273 | 0.2\% | 2,084 | 0.2\% |
| Total loans to customers. | 658,360 | 100.0\% | 893,543 | 100.0\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 1.20\% |  | 0.82\% |

Note:
(1) Calculated by dividing total non-performing loans by total loans.
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|  | As of December 31, |  | As of September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Corporate loans |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 465,678 | 95.8\% | 670,091 | 97.7\% |
| Special mention | 12,994 | 2.7\% | 9,561 | 1.4\% |
| Substandard | 3,138 | 0.6\% | 2,505 | 0.4\% |
| Doubtful | 2,781 | 0.6\% | 1,836 | 0.3\% |
| Loss | 1,267 | 0.3\% | 2,023 | 0.3\% |
| Subtotal. | 485,858 | 100.0\% | 686,016 | 100.0\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 1.48\% |  | 0.93\% |
| Discounted bills |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 63,862 | 99.9\% | 61,333 | 100.0\% |
| Special mention | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
| Substandard | 15 | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
| Doubtful | 54 | 0.1\% | - | 0.0\% |
| Loss | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
| Subtotal. | 63,931 | 100.0\% | 61,333 | 100.0\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 0.11\% |  | - |
| Retail loans |  |  |  |  |
| Normal | 104,533 | 96.3\% | 141,755 | 97.1\% |
| Special mention | 3,372 | 3.1\% | 3,518 | 2.4\% |
| Substandard | 306 | 0.3\% | 349 | 0.2\% |
| Doubtful | 354 | 0.3\% | 511 | 0.3\% |
| Loss | 6 | 0.0\% | 61 | 0.0\% |
| Subtotal. | 108,571 | 100.0\% | 146,194 | 100.0\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 0.61\% |  | 0.63\% |
| Total loans to customers | $\underline{\underline{658,360}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{893,543}}$ |  |

Note:
(1) Calculated by dividing non-performing loans in each category by total loans in that category.

The non-performing loan ratio of our total loan portfolio decreased from $1.20 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 to $0.86 \%$ as of June 30, 2009 and further decreased to $0.82 \%$ as of September 30, 2009. For the reasons of the decrease in the non-performing loan ratio in the first half of 2009, see "- Assets - Asset Quality of Our Loan Portfolio". The continued decrease of the non-performing Ioan ratio of our total loan portfolio in the third quarter of 2009 was mainly due to the decrease of the non-performing loan ratio of our corporate loan portfolio, namely from $1.48 \%$ as of December 31, 2008 to $0.93 \%$ as of September 30, 2009. Such a decrease was mainly a result of our continuous efforts to recover problem corporate loans and to control the origination of new non-performing loans.
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## Changes to the Asset Quality of Our Loan Portfolio

The following table sets forth, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the changes in the outstanding balance of our non-performing loans.

|  | Amount | Non-performing loan ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | of RMB, except centages) |
| As of December 31, 2008 | 7,921 | 1.20\% |
| Additional impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3,232 |  |
| Deducted by |  |  |
| Write-offs. | (715) |  |
| Upgrades | (57) |  |
| Recoveries | $(2,840)$ |  |
| Others | (256) |  |
| As of September 30, 2009 | $\underline{\underline{7,284}}$ | 0.82\% |

## Note:

(1) Include downgrades from performing loans to impaired loans in respective period.

As of September 30, 2009, the balance of our impaired loans was RMB7.3 billion, a 8.0\% decrease from RMB7.9 billion as of December 31, 2008, mainly due to recoveries amounting to RMB2.8 billion for impaired loans during the first three quarters of 2009.

## Ten Largest Non-performing Borrowers

The following table sets forth, as of September 30, 2009, our borrowers with the ten largest non-performing loan balances outstanding.

|  | As of September 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Industry | Amount | Classification | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of total } \\ \text { non-performing } \\ \text { loans } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |
| Borrower A | Real estate | 576 | Substandard | 8\% | 0.7\% |
| Borrower B | Production and supply of electric power, gas and water | 459 | Loss | 6\% | 0.5\% |
| Borrower C | Manufacturing | 283 | Substandard | 4\% | 0.3\% |
| Borrower D | Real estate | 232 | Substandard | 3\% | 0.3\% |
| Borrower E | Leasing and commercial services | 215 | Substandard | 3\% | 0.2\% |
| Borrower F | Information transmission, IT services and software | 200 | Loss | 3\% | 0.2\% |
| Borrower G | Others | 199 | Doubtful | 3\% | 0.2\% |
| Borrower H | Leasing and commercial services | 199 | Loss | 3\% | 0.2\% |
| Borrower I. | Real estate | 196 | Doubtful | 3\% | 0.2\% |
| Borrower J | Education and public service | 189 | Loss | 3\% | 0.2\% |
| Total |  | $\underline{\underline{2,748}}$ |  | 39\% | $\underline{\underline{3.0 \%}}$ |

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

## Allowance for Impairment Losses on Loans to Customers

## Distribution of Allowance for Impairment Losses by Loan Classification

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the allocation of our allowance for impairment losses by loan classification category.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  | As of September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Allowance to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | \% of total | Allowance to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) ${ }_{\text {(unaudited) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing ${ }^{(2)}$. | 7,186 | 60.5\% | 1.1\% | 8,393 | 62.0\% | 0.95\% |
| Substandard | 1,162 | 9.8\% | 33.6\% | 1,176 | 8.7\% | 41.2\% |
| Doubtful | 2,264 | 19.0\% | 71.0\% | 1,882 | 13.9\% | 80.2\% |
| Loss | 1,273 | 10.7\% | 100.0\% | 2,084 | 15.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Total allowance | 11,885 | 100\% | 1.8\% | 13,535 | 100\% | 1.5\% |

Notes:
(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of the allowance for impairment losses on loans in each category by the total amount of loans in that category.
(2) Performing loans consist of loans classified as normal and special mention.

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the allocation of our allowance for impairment losses by product type and by loan classification category.

|  | As of December 31, |  |  | As of September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Allowance to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | \% of total | Allowance to loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) ${ }_{\text {(unaudited) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | 5,885 | 49.5\% | 1.2\% | 7,221 | 53.4\% | 1.1\% |
| Substandard | 1,038 | 8.7\% | 33.1\% | 1,044 | 7.7\% | 41.7\% |
| Doubtful | 1,925 | 16.2\% | 69.2\% | 1,458 | 10.8\% | 79.4\% |
| Loss | 1,268 | 10.7\% | 100.0\% | 2,023 | 14.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Subtotal | 10,116 | 85.1\% | 2.1\% | 11,746 | 86.8\% | 1.7\% |
| Discounted bills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing. | 445 | 3.74\% | 0.7\% | 170 | 1.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Substandard | 4 | 0.03\% | 26.7\% | - | - | - |
| Doubtful | 38 | 0.32\% | 70.4\% | - | - | - |
| Loss | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Subtotal | 487 | 4.1\% | 0.8\% | 170 | 1.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Retail loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing. | 856 | 7.20\% | 0.8\% | 1,002 | 7.4\% | 0.7\% |
| Substandard | 120 | 1.01\% | 39.2\% | 132 | 1.0\% | 37.8\% |
| Doubtful | 300 | 2.52\% | 84.7\% | 424 | 3.1\% | 83.0\% |
| Loss | 6 | 0.05\% | 100.0\% | 61 | 0.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Subtotal | 1,282 | 10.8\% | 1.2\% | 1,619 | 12.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Total allowance | $\underline{\underline{11,885}}$ | 100.0\% | 1.8\% | $\underline{\underline{13,535}}$ | 100.0\% | 1.5\% |

## Note:

(1) Calculated by dividing the amount of the allowance for impairment losses on loans in each category by the total amount of loans in that category.

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

## Changes to the Allowance for Impairment Losses

The following table sets forth, at and for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the changes to the allowance for impairment losses on loans to customers.
As of December 31, 2008. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Notes:
(1) Represents the amount of new allowance provided during the relevant period, after deducting the amount of releases from loan loss allowances during the same period.
(2) Allowances are calculated based on discounted cash flow. As time goes by, the present value of future cash flow increases, and the allowances are released accordingly.

Our allowance for impairment losses as of September 30, 2009 was RMB13.5 billion, an increase of $13.9 \%$ from RMB11.9 billion as of December 31, 2008. The net new allowance provided for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 amounted to RMB2.9 billion, primarily due to the overall growth of our total loan portfolio. The amount of loans written off during the first three quarters of 2009 amounted to RMB1.2 billion, primarily due to the increase of the loans that met our requirements for write-off. The coverage ratio of our total allowance for impairment losses to total non-performing loans was $185.8 \%$ as of September 30, 2009.

## Investment Securities and Trading Assets

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the components of our investment securities and trading assets.

|  | As of December 31, |  | As of September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Trading assets | 4,405 | 3.3\% | 5,534 | 3.6\% |
| Available-for-sale securities ${ }^{(1)}$ | 53,597 | 40.1\% | 52,265 | 33.9\% |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 38,716 | 28.9\% | 53,671 | 34.8\% |
| Loans and receivables. | 37,066 | 27.7\% | 42,587 | 27.7\% |
| Total | 133,784 | 100.0\% | 154,057 | 100.0\% |

(1) Includes an allowance of RMB50 million as of December 31, 2008 and RMB62 million as of September 30, 2009 relating to our subprime mortgage securities gross balance of RMB68 million as of the same dates.

Our total investment securities and trading assets increased by $15.2 \%$ to RMB154.1 billion as of September 30, 2009 from RMB133.8 billion as of December 31, 2008.

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

## Debt Securities

|  | As of December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { As of June 30, }}{2009}$ |  | $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text { As of } \\ \text { September 30, } \end{array}}{2009}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Amount | $\%$ of total <br> in millions | Amount | \% of total <br> xcept per | $\xrightarrow[\text { Amount }]{\text { centages) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { (unaudit }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \% \text { of } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { ited) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| Government and quasigovernment bonds. . | 83,918 | 84.3\% | 100,187 | 68.6\% | 99,839 | 76.8\% | 111,687 | 71.1\% | 116,172 | 75.5\% |
| Financial institution bonds | 11,162 | 11.2\% | 35,705 | 24.5\% | 20,809 | 16.0\% | 21,758 | 13.9\% | 19,452 | 12.6\% |
| Corporate bonds | 4,417 | 4.4\% | 10,104 | 6.9\% | 9,375 | 7.2\% | 23,644 | 15.0\% | 18,245 | 11.9\% |
| Total debt securities | 99,497 | 100.0\% | 145,996 | 100.0\% | 130,023 | 100.0\% | 157,089 | 100.0\% | 153,869 | 100.0\% |

In the third quarter of 2009, we continued to increase our holdings in government and quasigovernment bonds. As of September 30, 2009, our holdings in government and quasi-government bonds amounted to RMB116.2 billion, a $16.4 \%$ increase from RMB99.8 billion as of December 31, 2008 and a $4.0 \%$ increase from RMB111.7 billion as of June 30, 2009. In the third quarter of 2009, our investment in corporate bonds decreased to RMB18.2 billion as of September 30, 2009 from RMB23.6 billion as of June 30, 2009, reflecting our continuous efforts to increase holdings of government bonds with higher credit grades.

## Liabilities and Sources of Funds

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, the components of our total liabilities.

|  | As of December 31, |  | As of September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
|  | Amount | \% of total | Amount | \% of total |
|  | (in millions of RMB, except percentages) |  |  |  |
| Deposits from customers | 785,786 | 78.6\% | 1,099,483 | 81.9\% |
| Due to and placements from banks and other financial institutions. | 160,248 | 16.0\% | 182,729 | 13.6\% |
| Financial bonds | 22,459 | 2.2\% | 12,077 | 0.9\% |
| Subordinated bonds | 7,252 | 0.7\% | 7,522 | 0.6\% |
| Hybrid capital bonds | 4,288 | 0.4\% | 9,572 | 0.7\% |
| Others | 19,645 | 2.0\% | 31,142 | 2.3\% |
| Total liabilities | $\underline{\underline{999,678}}$ | $\underline{\underline{100.0}}$ \% | $\underline{\underline{1,342,525}}$ | $\underline{\underline{100.0}} \%$ |

Our total liabilities increased by 34.3\% to RMB1,342.5 billion as of September 30, 2009 from RMB999.7 billion as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to an increase in deposits from customers.

## DESCRIPTION OF OUR ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

## Deposits from Customers

The following table sets forth, at the dates indicated, our deposits from customers by product type and customer type.


| Corporate deposits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand | 297,243 | 37.8\% | 442,231 | 40.2\% |
| Time | 352,275 | 44.8\% | 464,618 | 42.3\% |
| Subtotal | 649,518 | 82.6\% | 906,849 | 82.5\% |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Demand | 33,599 | 4.3\% | 44,089 | 4.0\% |
| Time | 102,669 | 13.1\% | 148,545 | 13.5\% |
| Subtotal | 136,268 | 17.4\% | 192,634 | 17.5\% |
| Total deposits from customers | 785,786 | 100.0\% | $\underline{\text { 1,099,483 }}$ | 100.0\% |

Our total deposits increased by 39.9\% to RMB1,099.5 billion as of September 30, 2009 from RMB785.8 billion as of December 31, 2008. Corporate deposits increased by $39.6 \%$ to RMB906.8 billion as of September 30, 2009 from RMB649.5 billion as of December 31, 2008, and retail deposits increased by $41.4 \%$ to RMB192.6 billion as of September 30, 2009 from RMB136.2 billion as of December 31, 2008.

