SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

These notes set out on pages 240 to 260 are supplementary to and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements set out on pages 89 to 238. The consolidated financial statements and these supplementary notes taken together comply with the Banking (Disclosure) Rules ("Rules") made under section 60A of the Banking Ordinance.

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION

(a) Except where indicated otherwise, the financial information contained in these supplementary notes has been prepared on a consolidated basis in accordance with Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards. Some parts of these supplementary notes, however, are required by the Rules to be prepared on a different basis. In such cases, the Rules require that certain information is prepared on a basis which excluded some of the subsidiaries of the Bank.

Further information regarding subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidation for regulatory purpose is set out in note 2 to the supplementary notes to the financial statements.

- **(b)** The accounting policies applied in preparing these supplementary notes are the same as those applied in preparing the consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2008 as set out in note 4 to the financial statements.
- (c) Certain comparative figures have not been provided where the current year is the first year of disclosure and the provision of comparative figures is impracticable.

2. CAPITAL ADEQUACY

(a) Capital adequacy ratios

The capital adequacy ratios as at 31 December 2008 are computed on the consolidated basis of the Bank and certain of its subsidiaries as specified by the HKMA for its regulatory purposes, and are in accordance with the Banking (Capital) Rules of the Hong Kong Banking Ordinance which became effective on 1 January 2007.

In the year of 2007, the Group has adopted the Standardised approach ("STC") for the calculation of the credit risk capital requirement. With the approval from the HKMA to use the Foundation Internal Ratings-Based ("IRB") approach, the Group has migrated to a more sophisticated IRB approach and adopted this approach to calculate its credit risk for the non-securitisation exposures as at 31 December 2008. During the years of 2007 and 2008, the Group has adopted "Standardised Approach" for the calculation of operational risk capital requirement and the "Internal Models Approach" for the calculation of market risk capital requirement. As there are significant differences between IRB approach and STC approach, the capital adequacy ratios of the two years are not directly comparable.

During the Group's application of adopting IRB approach, the Group has also obtained approval from the HKMA under section 12(2)(a) of the Banking (Capital) Rules to adopt Standardised Approach for certain exposures being exempted from the IRB approach for credit risk calculations. Details of credit risk calculated under Standardised Approach are shown under supplementary note 5.

2. CAPITAL ADEQUACY (continued)

(a) Capital adequacy ratios (continued)

The capital base after deductions used in the calculation of capital adequacy ratios as at 31 December and reported to HKMA is analysed as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|---------|---------|
| Capital base | | |
| Core capital: | | |
| – share capital | 9,559 | 9,559 |
| – retained profits | 24,290 | 29,437 |
| – classified as regulatory reserve | (854) | (911) |
| – less: deduction from core capital | (557) | (283) |
| – less: 50 per cent of total unconsolidated investments and other deductions | (6,330) | (5,875) |
| – total core capital | 26,108 | 31,927 |
| Supplementary capital: | | |
| – fair value gains on the revaluation of property | 3,465 | 3,466 |
| – fair value gains on the revaluation of available-for-sale investment and equity | 649 | 823 |
| – collectively assessed impairment allowances | 78 | 636 |
| – regulatory reserve | 94 | 911 |
| – surplus provision | 101 | _ |
| – term subordinated debt | 10,357 | 10,354 |
| - less: 50 per cent of total unconsolidated investments and other deductions | (6,330) | (5,875) |
| – total supplementary capital | 8,414 | 10,315 |
| Total capital base after deductions | 34,522 | 42,242 |
| Risk-weighted assets | | |
| – credit risk | 235,576 | 342,798 |
| – market risk | 1,684 | 2,166 |
| – operational risk | 38,104 | 33,558 |
| | 275,364 | 378,522 |
| | | |
| Capital adequacy ratio | 12.5% | 11.2% |
| Core capital ratio | 9.5% | 8.4% |

2. CAPITAL ADEQUACY (continued)

(b) Basis of consolidation

The basis of consolidation for calculation of capital ratios under the Capital Rules follows the basis of consolidation for financial reporting with the exclusion of subsidiaries which are "regulated financial entities" (e.g. insurance and securities companies) as defined by the Capital Rules. Accordingly, the investment costs of these unconsolidated regulated financial entities are deducted from the capital base. The unconsolidated regulated financial entities are:

Hang Seng Bank (Trustee) Limited

Hang Seng Bank Trustee International Limited

Hang Seng Futures Limited

Hang Seng General Insurance (Hong Kong) Company Limited

Hang Seng Insurance Company Limited

Hang Seng Insurance (Bahamas) Limited

Hang Seng Investment Management Limited

Hang Seng Investment Services Limited

Hang Seng Life Limited

Hang Seng (Nominee) Limited

Hang Seng Securities Limited

The Group operates subsidiaries in a number of countries and territories where capital will be governed by local rules and there may be restrictions on the transfer of regulatory capital and funds between members of the banking group.

3. CREDIT RISK CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

With effect from 1 January 2008, the Group uses the foundation internal ratings-based ("IRB") approach approved by the HKMA for calculating the credit risks for the majority of its non-securitisation exposures, with the remainder of its credits risks assessed using the standardised (credit risk) approach. In 2007, the Group used the standardised (credit risk) approach.

The table below shows the capital requirements for credit risk for each class and subclass of exposures as specified in the Banking (Capital) Rules.

3. CREDIT RISK CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS (continued)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|------------|--|
| Subject to internal ratings-based approach | | |
| Sovereign exposures | 413 | _ |
| Bank exposures | 4,005 | _ |
| Corporate exposures | 9,477 | _ |
| Residential mortgages to individuals and property-holding shell companies | 1,099 | _ |
| Qualifying revolving retail exposures | 750 | _ |
| Small business retail exposure Other retail exposures to individuals | 12 | _ |
| Other retail exposures to individuals Other exposures | 316 915 | _ |
| Securitisation exposures | 915 | _ |
| Equity exposures | _ | _ |
| | 16.007 | |
| Total capital requirements for credit risk under internal ratings-based approach | 16,987 | |
| Subject to standardised approach | | |
| On-balance sheet | | |
| Sovereign exposures | _ | 78 |
| Public sector entity exposures | 43 | 394 |
| Multilateral development bank exposures | _ | _ |
| Bank exposures | 15 | 7,328 |
| Securities firm exposures | _ | 126 |
| Corporate exposures | 397 | 11,090 |
| Collective investment scheme exposures | 6 | 188 |
| Cash items | - | 2 |
| Regulatory retail exposures | 142 | 1,353 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 586 | 3,705 |
| Other exposures which are not past due exposures | 467 | 1,398 |
| Past due exposures | 36 | 69 |
| Total capital requirements for on-balance sheet exposures | 1,692 | 25,731 |
| Off-balance sheet | | |
| Direct credit substitutes | 35 | 291 |
| Transaction-related contingencies | 20 | 32 |
| Trade-related contingencies | 18 | 164 |
| Forward asset purchases | 5 | 9 |
| Partly paid-up shares and securities | _ | _ |
| Forward forward deposits placed | _ | _ |
| Unconditionally cancellable commitments | _ | _ |
| Other commitments | 54 | 864 |
| Exchange rate contracts | 22 | 191 |
| Interest rate contracts | 1 | 41 |
| Equity contracts | 12 | 101 |
| OTC derivative transactions and credit derivative contracts | | |
| subject to valid bilateral netting agreements | - | _ |
| Other off-balance exposures which are not elsewhere specified | - | _ |
| Total capital requirements for off-balance sheet exposures | 167 | 1,693 |
| | | |
| Total capital requirements for credit risk under standardised | 4.050 | 27.424 |
| (credit risk) approach | 1,859 | 27,424 |
| Total capital requirements for credit risk | 18,846 | 27,424 |
| ' ' | <u> </u> | <u>, </u> |

The capital requirement is made by multiplying the Group's risk-weighted amount derived from the relevant calculation approach by 8 per cent. It does not reflect the Group's actual regulatory capital.

(a) The internal system and risk components

Nature of exposures within each internal-ratings based ("IRB") class

The Group adopted internal-ratings based approach for the majority of its business with effect from 1 January 2008, with the remainder on standardised approach. The following exposures are subject to internal-ratings based approach:

- Corporate exposure includes exposures to global large corporates, local large corporates, middle market corporates and small and medium-sized enterprises, non-bank financial institutions and specialised lending.
- Sovereign exposure includes exposures to sovereign governments, central monetary institutions and government entities.
- Bank exposure includes exposures to banks and regulated securities firms.
- Retail exposure includes residential mortgages, qualifying revolving retail exposures, small business retail exposures and other retail exposures.
- Other exposure mainly includes notes and coins, premises, plant and equipment and other fixed assets.

Structure of rating systems and control mechanisms

The Group's exposure to credit risk arises from a wide range of asset classes, customers and product types. To measure and manage the risk in these exposures, both to individually assessed customers and to those aggregated into portfolios, the Group employs diverse risk rating systems and methodologies: judgemental, analytical, and hybrids of the two. The main characteristics of the Group's credit risk rating systems are set out below.

(i) Structure of Internal Ratings Systems

The Group-wide credit risk rating framework incorporates probability of default ("PD") of an obligor and loss severity expressed in terms of exposures at default ("EAD") and loss given default ("LGD"). These measures are used to calculate expected loss and capital requirements. They are also used in conjunction with other inputs for risk management decisions.

For wholesale business (includes corporate, bank and sovereign exposures), obligor PD is estimated using a 10-grade scale Customer Risk Rating expanded into 22 buckets, of which 20 are non-default ratings representing varying degrees of strength of financial condition, and two are default ratings. Credit scores generated by models and/or scorecards for individual obligors are reviewed by credit approvers. The final approved Customer Risk Ratings are mapped to a PD value range of which the "mid-point" is used in the regulatory capital calculation. LGD and EAD estimations for wholesale business is subject to parameters set by the Regulator.

The Group uses supervisory slotting criteria approach in rating its regulatory specialised lending exposure. Under this approach, rating will be assigned based on the borrower and transaction characteristics.

For retail business, a wide range of statistical techniques are used to develop credit risk models to provide PD, EAD and LGD estimates, incorporating the characteristics of the products and the borrower's account behaviour. For reporting and management information purposes, retail portfolios are analysed according to analytically-derived criteria into 10 expected loss bands which are grouped under 4 categories, enabling comparability across the Group's retail customer segments, business lines and product types.

(a) The internal system and risk components (continued)

(ii) Use of internal ratings

While internal estimates derived from applying the internal-ratings based ("IRB") approach are employed in the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for the purpose of determining regulatory capital requirements, they are also used in a multitude of contexts within risk management and business processes. Such uses continue to develop and become embedded as experience grows and the repository of quality data improves. They include:

- credit approval: authorities, including those for specific counterparty types and transactions, are delegated to credit officers in the Group's credit risk function using a risk-based approach, tiered relative to obligor customer risk rating;
- credit monitoring: migration of obligor customer risk rating becomes an important indicator in credit monitoring process;
- pricing: customer relationship managers apply a Risk Adjusted Return on Capital methodology in risk-weighted asset ("RWA")
 and profitability calculators; and
- portfolio management: regular reports to senior management on analyses of exposures employing internal-ratings based ("IRB") metrics.

(iii) Credit risk mitigation

Mitigation of credit risk is an important aspect of its effective management and takes in many forms.

In terms of internal-ratings based ("IRB") parameters, risk mitigants are considered in two broad categories: first, those which reduce the intrinsic probability of default of an obligor and therefore operate as adjustments to probability of default ("PD") estimation, and second, those which affect estimated recoverability of obligations and require adjustment of loss given default ("LGD")/exposure at default ("EAD"). The first includes, for example, full parental or third party guarantees; the second, collateral security of various kinds such as cash, equity and mortgages over residential property, etc.

For wholesale exposures, loss given default ("LGD") values are determined by reference to parameters approved by the Regulators based on the nature of the collateral. For retail exposures, credit mitigation data is incorporated into the internal risk parameters for risk exposures and feeds continuously into the calculation of the expected loss band value summarising both customer delinquency and product or facility risk. Credit and risk mitigation data forms part of the inputs submitted to a centralised database, upon which a capital calculation engine then performs calculations by applying the relevant Basel II rules and approach.

The main types of recognised collateral taken by the Group are those as stated in section 80 of the Banking (Capital) Rules, including (but not limited to) cash on deposit, gold bullion, equities listed in a main index and/or a recognised exchange, collective investment schemes, various recognised debt securities and residential property, etc.

It is the Group's policy that all facilities of wholesale portfolios should be reviewed (and hence collateral revalued) at least on an annual basis. Where facilities have been overdue for more than 90 days and are tangibly secured, the collateral should be revalued not less than every 3 months.

For residential mortgages under retail business, valuations must be updated at a minimum of once every three years for residential real estate portfolio exceeding USD500m through the consistent use of reputable local house or real estate price indices and/or inhouse estimates. Where the market is subject to significant changes in conditions, revaluation should be more frequent. For accounts of more than 90 days past due, an updated valuation on the mortgaged property is required upon downgrading. An updated valuation must be obtained on an annual basis or earlier if there is reason to believe that the value of the property has declined.

The Group's policy provides that netting is only to be applied where it has the legal right to do so. Under the Banking (Capital) Rules, recognised netting is defined as any netting done pursuant to a valid bilateral netting arrangement. Consistent with the Banking (Capital) Rules, only bilateral netting arrangements are included for capital adequacy credit risk mitigation calculation. While the use of multi-lateral netting arrangement is allowed for internal credit risk management, it is not a valid credit risk mitigation under the Banking (Capital) Rules.

There is immaterial credit and market risks concentrations within the credit risk mitigants (recognised collateral and guarantees) used by the Group.

(a) The internal system and risk components (continued)

(iv) Control Mechanisms for Rating Systems

In order to ensure that the rating systems are robust, the Group has relevant policy and control mechanism in place to validate the accuracy and consistency of the systems, which are used for the estimation of all relevant risk components for risk management and capital adequacy calculation. The Chief Credit Officer is responsible for the design and management of the Group's credit function, including approval and oversight of the risk rating systems. Regular reportings on model performance are also made to relevant management committees comprising of senior management and business representatives.

Model validation process enables the Group to reaffirm the continuing appropriateness of the models in the light of performance against expectation. The validation process involves a series of quantitative and qualitative activities to assess the rating consistency, discriminatory power, prediction power and stability of a model. The validation process covers two major aspects: pre-implementation examination and post-implementation validation.

Pre-implementation examination is performed for newly built or redeveloped risk rating models before the model is formally deployed into production environment. Pre-implementation examination is carried out by independent party not involved in the model development process. Moreover, post-implementation validation is performed periodically by the model developer with established performance benchmarking standards. There are also periodic model performance reports provided to those responsible for model oversight and model monitoring. Additionally, Internal Audit conducts annual review on model validation and monitoring processes.

(b) Internal Rating Process

For wholesale exposures, the assignment of customer risk rating is an independent exercise, taking into account all aspects of the borrower's risk profile. Lending executive assigns customer risk rating based on a suite of approved risk rating systems. Customer risk ratings are to be re-assessed each time that a credit proposal is formally reviewed, but at a minimum annually. Policy requirements dictate a continuous monitoring of wholesale credits by the assigned executive, formal review and reporting is required upon any material deterioration in risk profile. In the event that credit information external to the risk rating system is deemed to have a material impact on probability of default ("PD"), an override is permissible. Justification of the override is required to document for approval and for model validation purpose.

For retail exposures, exposures are segmented into pools in risk rating assessment. A group of sufficiently homogeneous exposures, which bears the same risk characteristics, is classified as a pool. Each exposure is assigned to a particular pool based on risk drivers of borrower risk, transaction risk and delinquency status.

Probability of Default ("PD")

Probability of default ("PD") is the likelihood, expressed as a percentage, of a default event in a one-year time horizon.

For corporate exposures, probability of default ("PD") is estimated based upon an quantitative assessment of the borrower's financials combined with a qualitative assessment of the industry environment, management experience and company structure. Based on the Group's historical default data, the score generated by the PD model is calibrated into a customer risk rating.

For bank exposures, probability of default ("PD") estimation incorporates an advanced analytical model, which combines financial statistics and trends with qualitative inputs by the relevant relationship manager. The resultant score is blended with internal country and operating environment risk scores to generate a customer risk rating.

For sovereign exposures, the models used to estimate probability of default ("PD") incorporates inputs comprising both quantitative and qualitative data from a wide range of reference sources and agencies on economic, political, financial and social conditions. Separate local currency and foreign currency risk ratings and associated probability of default ("PD") are derived and applied to exposures denominated in the respective currencies.

For retail exposures, probability of default ("PD") estimation takes variables of facility utilisation, payment history, account conduct as well as in-house developed scorecards and credit bureau data.

(b) Internal Rating Process (continued)

Exposure-at-Default ("EAD")

Exposure at default ("EAD") is a product-specific estimate of the exposure at the time of default. This calculation is based on empirical data predicting the likelihood of drawings, over a one-year time horizon, from an analysis of statistical behaviour of such default events. It is the sum of on-balance sheet balance outstanding and amount of off-balance sheet items multiplied by the credit conversion factor and the drawdown factor. Credit conversion factor is the likelihood that a non-cash/off-balance facility may crystallise and become cash exposure, which include the expected additional drawdown on an available unutilised limit.

For corporate, bank and sovereign exposures, the Group applies the supervisory credit conversion factor estimation provided by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority.

For retail revolving exposures, predictive models are built to estimate additional customer drawdown for the estimation of exposure at default ("EAD"). For retail non-revolving exposures, estimation was based on the sum of current principal outstanding.

Loss Given Default ("LGD")

Loss given default ("LGD") is an estimate of the severity of the loss that the Group is likely to incur in the event that the borrower defaults, expressed as a percentage of exposure at default ("EAD").

For corporate, bank and sovereign exposures, the Group applies the supervisory loss given default factor estimation provided by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority.

For retail exposures, loss given default ("LGD") model is built based on the Group's internal loss and default experience where the estimation of loss given default ("LGD") reflects economic downturn conditions.

(c) Approach for determining provisions

Policies for establishing provisions

The impairment losses of loans and receivables are measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the asset's original effective interest rate. Receivables with a short duration are not discounted if the effect of discounting is immaterial.

The total allowance for impairment losses consists of two components: individually assessed impairment allowances and collectively assessed impairment allowances. Please refer to note 4(f) to the financial statements for details of the provision policies.

All impaired loans and receivables are reviewed and analysed periodically. Any subsequent changes to the amounts and timing of the expected future cash flows compared to the prior estimates that can be linked objectively to an event occurring after the write-down, will result in a change in the impairment allowances on loans and receivables and will be charged or credited to the profit and loss account. A reversal of impairment losses is limited to the loans and receivables' carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognised in prior years. Where there is no reasonable prospect of recovery, the loan and the related interest receivables are written off.

The Group takes into consideration the provision made in its capital maintenance decisions as in accordance with section 220 of the Banking (Capital) Rules. The Group compares the total expected loss ("EL") amount and the total eligible provisions, and if total EL amount exceeds total eligible provisions, the Group deducts the difference from the core capital and supplementary capital. On the other hand, if total EL amount is less than the eligible provisions, the Group includes the differences in its supplementary capital up to a maximum of 0.6% of the Group's risk weighted amount for credit risk calculated using IRB Approach.

(d) Exposures subject to supervisory estimates

The Group received approval from HKMA to use the Basel II Foundation Internal Based Approach ("FIRB") for credit risk for its Corporate, Sovereign and Bank portfolios. Approvals for the FIRB approach enables the Group to rely on its own internal estimates for some of the necessary credit risk components in determining the capital requirement for a given credit exposure. Internal estimate is used for PD, while for LGD and Maturity, the HKMA provided estimates are used. PDs and LGDs are the key inputs for EL estimates.

The following table indicates the exposure classes and the respective exposure amounts that are subject to supervisory estimates as at 31 December 2008:

| | 2008 |
|---------------------|---------|
| IRB Exposure Class | |
| Sovereign exposures | 50,696 |
| Bank exposures | 179,639 |
| Corporate exposures | 188,446 |
| Other exposures | 14,629 |
| Total EAD | 433,410 |

(e) Exposures by IRB calculation approach

The following shows the Group's exposures:

| | Foundation IRB Approach | Supervisory Slotting Criteria Approach | Retail IRB Approach | Specific Risk-weight Approach | Total exposures |
|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Sovereign exposures | 50,696 | _ | - | _ | 50,696 |
| Bank exposures | 179,639 | - | - | - | 179,639 |
| Corporate exposures | 173,412 | 15,034 | _ | _ | 188,446 |
| Retail exposures: | | | | | |
| residential mortgages to individuals and property- holding shell companies | _ | _ | 115,053 | _ | 115,053 |
| qualifying revolving retail exposures | _ | _ | 44,309 | _ | 44,309 |
| small business retail exposures | - | - | 3,119 | - | 3,119 |
| other retail exposures to individuals | _ | _ | 8,817 | - | 8,817 |
| Other exposures | - | - | - | 14,629 | 14,629 |
| | 403,747 | 15,034 | 171,298 | 14,629 | 604,708 |

(f) Exposures by credit risk mitigation used

The table below shows the total exposure amount by IRB portfolio other than OTC derivatives which is covered by recognised collaterals or guarantees as at 31 December 2008.

| | Exposures covered by collaterals | Exposures covered by guarantees |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Portfolio | | |
| Bank exposures | 32 | 31,511 |
| Corporate exposures | 30,993 | 49,161 |
| Retail exposures: | | |
| - residential mortgages to individuals and property-holding shell companies | 97,449 | 17,522 |
| – small business retail exposures | 3,119 | _ |
| – other retail exposures to individuals | 6,416 | |
| | 138,009 | 98,194 |
| | | |

For classes of sovereign exposure, qualifying revolving retail exposure and other exposure, there were no exposures covered by collaterals or guarantees.

(g) Risk assessment for exposures under IRB approach

The tables below details the total exposure at default ("EAD") of sovereign, bank and corporate exposures by exposure-weighted average risk-weight and exposure-weighted average probability of default (PD) for each obligor grades as at 31 December 2008.

The exposure of default disclosed below in respect of corporate, sovereign and bank exposures have taken into account the effect of recognised collateral and recognised guarantees.

(i) Sovereign exposure

| | Exposure- weighted average PD % | Exposure- weighted average risk-weight % | Exposure at default |
|-------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| Obligor Grade | | | |
| Minimal risk | 0.01 | 8.14 | 43,912 |
| Low risk | 0.06 | 22.96 | 6,743 |
| Fair default risk | 0.74 | 87.32 | 41 |
| | | | 50,696 |

(g) Risk assessment for exposures under IRB approach (continued)

(ii) Bank exposure

| | Exposure- weighted average PD % | Exposure- weighted average risk-weight % | Exposure at default |
|--------------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| Obligor Grade | | | |
| Minimal risk | 0.03 | 15.31 | 73,022 |
| Low risk | 0.08 | 27.03 | 87,035 |
| Satisfactory risk | 0.28 | 54.64 | 14,173 |
| Fair default risk | 0.82 | 89.85 | 2,352 |
| Moderate default risk | 3.56 | 142.93 | 2,850 |
| Significant default risk | 5.25 | 161.28 | 11 |
| High default risk | 11.24 | 211.93 | 36 |
| Default | 100.00 | - | 160 |
| | | | 179,639 |

(iii) Corporate exposure

| | Exposure- weighted average PD % | Exposure- weighted average risk-weight % | Exposure at default |
|--------------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| Obligor Grade | | | |
| Minimal risk | 0.04 | 17.45 | 31,433 |
| Low risk | 0.10 | 29.92 | 53,737 |
| Satisfactory risk | 0.40 | 59.48 | 47,971 |
| Fair default risk | 1.37 | 99.67 | 19,616 |
| Moderate default risk | 3.15 | 117.36 | 12,907 |
| Significant default risk | 6.02 | 141.23 | 3,685 |
| High default risk | 10.47 | 153.12 | 573 |
| Special management | 23.59 | 237.45 | 1,159 |
| Default | 100.00 | - | 2,331 |
| | | | 173,412 |

(iv) Specialised lending

The specialised lending portfolio subject to supervisory slotting is classified under corporate and is measured utilising the internal ratings-based approach. Supervisory risk-weights have been specified by HKMA in determining credit exposure for this portfolio.

| | Weighted average risk-weight % | Exposure at default |
|---------------|---|---------------------|
| Obligor Grade | | |
| Strong | 65.42 | 11,225 |
| Good | 93.46 | 2,997 |
| Satisfactory | 121.90 | 527 |
| Weak | 265.00 | 285 |
| | | 15,034 |

(g) Risk assessment for exposures under IRB approach (continued)

(v) Retail exposure

The following shows a breakdown of exposures (the EAD of on-balance sheet exposures and off-balance sheet exposures) on a pool basis into expected loss bands:

| | Residential mortgages | Qualifying revolving retail exposures | Small business retail exposures | Other retail exposures | Total exposures |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--------------------|
| Expected Loss bands | | | | | |
| Strong | 113,287 | 38,329 | 3,030 | 7,376 | 162,022 |
| Medium | 879 | 5,884 | 80 | 1,343 | 8,186 |
| Sub-standard | - | 82 | - | 65 | 147 |
| Impaired | 887 | 14 | 9 | 33 | 943 |
| | 115,053 | 44,309 | 3,119 | 8,817 | 171,298 |

(h) Analysis of actual loss and estimates

The following sets out the actual losses (including write-offs and impairment loss allowances) for the year and the expected loss as at 31 December 2007.

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-----------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Exposure Class | | |
| Sovereign | - | _ |
| Bank | 1,375 | - |
| Corporate | 1,109 | 350 |
| Residential mortgage | (20) | 22 |
| Qualifying revolving retail | 312 | 223 |
| Other retail | 73 | 69 |
| | 2,849 | 664 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Expected |
| | | loss at 31 December |
| | | 2007 |
| Exposure Class | | |
| Sovereign | | 3 |
| Bank | | 551 |
| Corporate | | 811 |
| Residential mortgage | | 251 |
| Qualifying revolving retail | | 282 |
| Other retail | | 399 |
| | | 2,297 |
| | | |

(h) Analysis of actual loss and estimates (continued)

The following sets out the percentage of the actual number of defaults at 31 December 2008 compared against the estimated probability of default at 31 December 2007 of the respective portfolio.

| Exposure Class | Actual default rate for 2008 % | Estimated probability of default at 31 December 2007 % |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Sovereign | _ | 0.06 |
| Bank | 0.63 | 0.28 |
| Corporate | 2.24 | 3.09 |
| Residential mortgage | 0.31 | 1.05 |
| Qualifying revolving retail | 0.54 | 0.62 |
| Other retail | 2.32 | 5.38 |

The actual default rate is measured by using the number of obligor defaulted for the annual reporting period whereas the estimated probability of default is the long run average default rate estimated for 2008.

As the Group only reported on IRB approach commencing from 1 January 2008, the information on actual loss and default rate is for reference only as they may not have covered a period sufficient enough to enable assessment on the performance of internal rating models.

5. CREDIT RISK UNDER THE STANDARDISED (CREDIT RISK) APPROACH (a) Ratings from External Credit Assessment Institutions ("ECAIs")

The Group uses the following external credit assessment institutions ("ECAls") to calculate its capital adequacy requirements under the standardised (credit risk) approach prescribed in the Banking (Capital) Rules:

- Fitch Ratings
- Moody's Investors Service
- Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, and
- Rating and Investment Information, Inc.

Where exposures have been rated by the above-mentioned ECAIs, they are categorised under the following class of exposures:

- Sovereign exposures
- Public sector entity exposures
- Multilateral development bank exposures
- Bank exposures
- Securities firm exposures
- Corporate exposures
- Collective investment scheme exposures

The process used to map ECAIs issuer ratings or ECAIs issue specific ratings in the Group's banking book is consistent with those prescribed in the Banking (Capital) Rules.

(b) Credit risk mitigation

The Group's policies on credit risk mitigation under standardised approach align with those under the internal ratings-based approach.

5. CREDIT RISK UNDER THE STANDARDISED (CREDIT RISK) APPROACH (continued)

(b) Credit risk mitigation (continued)

As stated in sections 98 and 99 of the Banking (Capital) Rules, certain guarantees and credit derivative contracts are recognised for credit risk mitigation purposes. The main types of guarantees are from sovereigns, corporate and banks. With corporate guarantees, in order for it to be recognised as a credit risk mitigants, it must have a credit rating of A- or better by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, Fitch Ratings and Rating and Investment Information, Inc. or a credit rating of A3 or better by Moody's Investors Service. With sovereign and bank guarantees, these exposures are managed by central teams in HSBC Group Head Office in London.

There is immaterial credit and market risks concentrations within the credit risk mitigants (recognised collateral and guarantees) used by the Group.

(c) Credit risk exposures under the standardised (credit risk) approach

| | E Total — | xposures after credit risk mi | | Risk-weighted | d amounts | Total risk- weighted | Total exposures covered by recognised | Total exposures covered by recognised guarantees or recognised credit derivative |
|---|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | exposures* | Rated | Unrated | Rated | Unrated | amounts | collateral | contracts |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | |
| Class of exposures | | | | | | | | |
| On-balance sheet | | | | | | | | |
| Sovereign | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Public sector entity | 2,702 | 2,706 | - | 541 | - | 541 | - | - |
| Multilateral development bank | 3,976 | 3,976 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 895 | 81 | 814 | 16 | 169 | 185 | - | - |
| Securities firm | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Corporate | 12,859 | 2,853 | 4,027 | 934 | 4,028 | 4,962 | 5,979 | - |
| Collective investment scheme | 72 | _ | 72 | _ | 72 | 72 | _ | _ |
| Cash items | _ | - | _ | _ | - | - | - | _ |
| Regulatory retail | 2,487 | - | 2,371 | _ | 1,778 | 1,778 | 116 | - |
| Residential | | | | | | | | |
| mortgage loan | 11,889 | - | 11,873 | - | 7,331 | 7,331 | 12 | 4 |
| Other exposures which are not past due | | | | | | | | |
| exposures | 6,347 | - | 5,844 | - | 5,844 | 5,844 | 503 | - |
| Past due exposures | 301 | - | 301 | _ | 446 | 446 | 5 | |
| | 41,528 | 9,616 | 25,302 | 1,491 | 19,668 | 21,159 | 6,615 | 4 |
| Off-balance sheet | | | | | | | | |
| Off-balance sheet exposures other than OTC derivative transactions or credit derivative contracts | 2 606 | 1,231 | 1 275 | 302 | 1 240 | 1,650 | 593 | |
| OTC derivative contracts | 2,606 482 | 1,231 | 1,375 468 | 302 | 1,348 430 | 1,650 433 | 232 | _ |
| Credit derivative | 702 | 1-4 | 400 | 3 | 730 | -555 | | |
| contracts | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Other off-balance sheet exposures not | | | | | | | | |
| elsewhere specified | 3,088 | 1,245 | 1,843 | 305 | 1,778 | 2,083 | | |
| | 3,088 | 1,245 | 1,843 | 303 | 1,//8 | 2,083 | | |
| Total | 44,616 | 10,861 | 27,145 | 1,796 | 21,446 | 23,242 | | |
| Exposures deducted from capital base | | | | | | | | |

^{*} Principal amount or credit equivalent amount, as applicable, net of specific provisions.

5. CREDIT RISK UNDER THE STANDARDISED (CREDIT RISK) APPROACH (continued)

(c) Credit risk exposures under the standardised (credit risk) approach (continued)

| | Total – | Exposures after credit risk mi | | Risk-weighted | amounts | Total risk- weighted | Total exposures covered by recognised | Total exposures covered by recognised guarantees or recognised credit derivative |
|---|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | exposures* | Rated | Unrated | Rated | Unrated | amounts | collateral | contracts |
| 2007 | | | | | | | | |
| Class of exposures | | | | | | | | |
| On-balance sheet | | | | | | | | |
| Sovereign | 15,418 | 17,123 | - | 969 | - | 969 | - | - |
| Public sector entity | 6,222 | 24,636 | - | 4,927 | - | 4,927 | - | 552 |
| Multilateral development bank | 5,702 | 5,702 | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | - |
| Bank | 308,470 | 309,506 | 1,051 | 91,071 | 526 | 91,597 | - | 719 |
| Securities firm | 3,215 | 91 | 3,064 | 46 | 1,532 | 1,578 | 60 | - |
| Corporate | 158,990 | 12,027 | 134,150 | 4,472 | 134,150 | 138,622 | 9,573 | 8,698 |
| Collective investment scheme | 2,354 | _ | 2,354 | _ | 2,354 | 2,354 | _ | _ |
| Cash items | 6,804 | _ | 6,804 | _ | 30 | 30 | _ | - |
| Regulatory retail | 22,823 | _ | 22,544 | _ | 16,908 | 16,908 | 279 | _ |
| Residential | | | | | | | | |
| mortgage loan | 128,762 | - | 109,735 | - | 46,307 | 46,307 | 61 | 18,966 |
| Other exposures which are not past due | | | | | | | | |
| exposures | 17,964 | 621 | 16,856 | 621 | 16,856 | 17,477 | 486 | - |
| Past due exposures | 768 | _ | 768 | | 862 | 862 | 171 | 137 |
| | 677,492 | 369,706 | 297,326 | 102,106 | 219,525 | 321,631 | 10,630 | 29,072 |
| Off-balance sheet | | | | | | | | |
| Off-balance sheet exposures other than OTC derivative transactions or credit | | | | | | | | |
| derivative contracts | 19,264 | 2,622 | 16,642 | 1,292 | 15,707 | 16,999 | 636 | 73 |
| OTC derivative contracts | 12,824 | 11,258 | 1,566 | 2,718 | 1,450 | 4,168 | | |
| Credit derivative contracts | - | - | - | - | _ | - | | |
| Other off-balance sheet exposures not | | | | | | | | |
| elsewhere specified | 32,088 | 13,880 | 18,208 | 4,010 | 17,157 | 21,167 | | |
| | 32,000 | 13,000 | 10,200 | 4,010 | 17,137 | 21,107 | | |
| Total | 709,580 | 383,586 | 315,534 | 106,116 | 236,682 | 342,798 | | |
| Exposures deducted from capital base | _ | | | | | | | |

 $[\]mbox{*}$ Principal amount or credit equivalent amount, as applicable, net of specific provisions.

6. COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK-RELATED EXPOSURES

(a) In respect of counterparty credit risk exposures which arises from over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative transactions and repo-style transactions (referred as "relevant transaction") hereunder, credit limit to counterparty credit risk arising from the relevant transaction is assigned, monitored and reported in accordance with the Group risk methodology. The credit limit established takes into account the gross contract amount and the future potential exposure measured on the basis of 95 percentile potential worst case loss estimates for the product involved. This method of calculating credit limit applies to all counterparties.

Credit equivalent amount and risk weighted amount of relevant transaction is determined following the regulatory capital requirements. Risk-weighted amount is calculated in accordance with the counterparty risk weighting as per standardised/internal ratings-based approach under the Capital Rules.

The policy for secured collateral on derivatives is guided by the Group's internal Best Practice Guidelines ensuring the due-diligence necessary to fully understand the effectiveness of netting and collateralisation by jurisdiction, counterparty, product and agreement type is fully assessed and that the due-diligence standards are high and consistently applied. The Group's policies for establishing provisions are discussed in note 4(f)—Loan impairment.

(b) Counterparty credit risk exposures

| | OTC derivative transactions |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 2008 | |
| OTC derivative transactions: | |
| – gross total positive fair value which are not repo-style transactions | 6,578 |
| – credit equivalent amount | 14,486 |
| Value of recognised collateral by type: | |
| – debt securities | _ |
| – others | - |
| | _ |
| Credit equivalent amount or net credit exposures net of recognised collateral held | 14,486 |
| Risk-weighted amount | 4,110 |
| Notional amount of recognised credit derivative contracts which provide credit protection | |
| 2007 | |
| 2007 OTC derivative transactions: | |
| gross total positive fair value which are not repo-style transactions | 4,168 |
| - gross total positive fail value which are not repo-style transactions - credit equivalent amount | 12,824 |
| - credit equivalent amount | 12,024 |
| Value of recognised collateral by type: | |
| - debt securities | _ |
| – others | - |
| | |
| | |
| Credit equivalent amount or net credit exposures net of recognised collateral held | 12,824 |
| Risk-weighted amount | 4,168 |
| Notional amount of recognised credit derivative contracts which provide credit protection | |

There was no outstanding repo-style transactions and credit derivative contracts at 31 December 2008 (2007: Nil).

6. COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK-RELATED EXPOSURES (continued)

(c) Major classes of exposures by counterparty type

| Credit | Risk- | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| · | weighted amounts | Contract amounts | Credit equivalent amounts | Risk- weighted amounts |
| Sovereign 1,766 18 | 4 | _ | _ | _ |
| Public sector entities 1,436 15 | 3 | 987 | 8 | 2 |
| Banks 763,517 12,753 | 3,082 | 791,396 | 11,251 | 2,718 |
| Corporates 49,278 1,700 | 1,021 | 31,187 | 1,565 | 1,448 |
| 815,997 14,486 | 4,110 | 823,570 | 12,824 | 4,168 |

7. ASSET SECURITISATION

There was no asset securitisation for which the Group is an originating institution or an investing institution at 31 December 2008.

8. MARKET RISK

The Hong Kong Monetary Authority has granted approval under section 18(2)(a) and 18(5) of the Banking (Capital) Rules for the Group to use the Internal Models Approach ("IMM") to calculate its market risk for foreign exchange risk and general interest rate risk. Standardised Approach is used for the calculation of specific interest rate risk, equity risk and commodity risk.

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|------|------|
| Market risk calculated by: | | |
| – Internal Models Approach: | | |
| Foreign exchange exposures and general interest rate exposures | 114 | 141 |
| – Standardised Approach: | | |
| Specific interest rate exposures | 20 | 32 |
| – Equity exposures | 1 | _ |
| Commodity exposures | | _ |
| Total capital charge for market risk | 135 | 173 |

9. OPERATIONAL RISK CAPITAL CHARGE

The Hong Kong Monetary Authority has granted approval under section 25(2) of the Banking (Capital) Rules for the Group to use the Standardised Approach to calculate its operational risk.

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Capital charge for operational risk | 3,048 | 2,685 |
| | | |

10. EQUITY EXPOSURES IN BANKING BOOK

Investments in equity shares which are intended to be held on a continuing basis, but which do not comprise investments in associates, jointly controlled entities or subsidiaries, are classified as available-for-sale securities and are reported in the balance sheet as "Financial investments". Available-for-sale securities are measured at fair value as described in notes 4(g)(iii) and 4(n) on the financial statements. Included within this category are investments made by the Group for strategic purposes, which are subject to additional internal procedures and approvals to ensure that the investment is in accordance with the Group's strategy and to ensure compliance with all relevant regulatory and legal restrictions. In some cases, additional investments may be made later such that the investee becomes an associate, jointly controlled entity or subsidiary, at which point the investment is reclassified in accordance with the Group's accounting policies.

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|------|-------|
| Cumulative realised gains on disposal | 255 | 442 |
| Unrealised gains: | | |
| - recognised in reserve but not through the income statement | 254 | 1,552 |
| – deducted from the supplementary capital | - | _ |

11. DISCLOSURE FOR SELECTED EXPOSURE

(a) Holding of debt securities issued by Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation

The table below shows the Group's exposures to the senior debt securities (AAA rated) issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.

| | Gross principal | Fair value |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| At 31 December 2008 | 63 | 66 |
| At 31 December 2007 | 1,001 | 998 |

The Group did not hold any asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed securities and collateralised debt obligations.

(b) Involvement with Special Purpose Entities ("SPEs")

From time to time, the Group enters into certain transactions with customers in the ordinary course of business which involve the establishment of SPEs. The use of SPEs is not a significant part of the Group's activities and the Group is not reliant on SPEs for any material part of its business operations or profitability.

12. ANALYSIS OF GROSS ADVANCES TO CUSTOMERS BY CATEGORIES BASED ON INTERNAL CLASSIFICATION USED BY THE GROUP

Impaired advances, overdue advances, individually assessed and collectively assessed loan impairment allowances, the amount of new impairment allowances charged to income statement, and the amount of impaired loans and advances written off during the year in respect of industry sectors which constitute not less than 10 per cent of gross advances to customers are analysed as follows:

| | | | G | roup | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|---|
| | Gross advances | Impaired advances | Individually assessed Ioan impairment allowances | Collectively assessed loan impairment allowances | New impairment allowances | Advances written off during the year |
| 2008 | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgages Commercial, | 107,187 | 403 | (33) | (104) | 22 | 3 |
| industrial and international trade | 62,464 | 2,030 | (1,048) | (483) | 996 | 101 |
| Commercial real estate | 34,354 | 2 | - | (5) | 1 | - |
| Other property- related lending | 57,979 | 265 | (75) | (55) | 85 | 3 |
| 2007 | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgages Commercial, industrial and | 100,725 | 474 | (31) | (95) | 19 | 15 |
| international trade | 58,967 | 594 | (361) | (316) | 367 | 196 |
| Commercial real estate | 32,861 | 22 | - | (5) | - | - |
| Other property- related lending | 45,058 | 21 | (8) | (43) | 5 | 23 |

13. NON-BANK MAINLAND EXPOSURES

The analysis of non-bank Mainland exposures is based on the categories of non-bank counterparties and the type of direct exposures defined by the HKMA under the Banking (Disclosure) Rules with reference to the HKMA return for non-bank Mainland exposures, which includes the Mainland exposures extended by the Bank and its overseas branches and overseas subsidiaries only.

| | On-balance sheet exposure | Off-balance sheet exposure | Total exposures | Individually assessed allowances |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 2008 | | | | |
| Mainland entities | 10,129 | 2,072 | 12,201 | - |
| Companies and individuals outside Mainland where the credit is granted for use in Mainland | 7,292 | 3,956 | 11,248 | 170 |
| Other counterparties where the exposure is considered by the Bank to be non-bank | · | 3,330 | ŕ | .,, |
| Mainland exposure | 15 | | 15 | |
| | 17,436 | 6,028 | 23,464 | 170 |
| Mainland exposures of HACN | 26,537 | 7,900 | 34,437 | 290 |
| | 43,973 | 13,928 | 57,901 | 460 |
| | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | |
| Mainland entities | 7,246 | 6,111 | 13,357 | 1 |
| Companies and individuals outside Mainland where the credit is granted for | | | | |
| use in Mainland | 6,397 | 5,376 | 11,773 | 88 |
| Other counterparties where the exposure is considered by the Bank to be non-bank | | | | |
| Mainland exposure | 50 | 278 | 328 | _ |
| | 13,693 | 11,765 | 25,458 | 89 |
| Mainland exposures of HACN | 25,582 | 9,966 | 35,548 | 125 |
| | 39,275 | 21,731 | 61,006 | 214 |

14. CROSS-BORDER CLAIMS

Cross-border claims include receivables and loans and advances, and balances due from banks and holdings of certificates of deposit, bills, promissory notes, commercial paper and other negotiable debt instruments, as well as accrued interest and overdue interest on these assets. Claims are classified according to the location of the counterparties after taking into account the transfer of risk. For a claim guaranteed by a party situated in a country different from the counterparty, the risk will be transferred to the country of the guarantor. For a claim on the branch of a bank or other financial institutions, the risk will be transferred to the country where its head office is situated. Claims on individual countries or areas, after risk transfer, amounting to 10 per cent or more of the aggregate cross-border claims are shown as follows:

| | Banks & other financial institutions | Public sector entities | Sovereign & other | Total |
|--|---|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 2008 | | | | |
| Asia-Pacific excluding Hong Kong: | | | | |
| – Australia | 21,088 | - | 431 | 21,519 |
| – China | 13,539 | - | 11,202 | 24,741 |
| – Japan | 8,933 | - | 74,127 | 83,060 |
| – Other | 16,212 | _ | 6,054 | 22,266 |
| | 59,772 | - | 91,814 | 151,586 |
| The Americas: | | | | |
| – United States | 34,673 | 25 | 34,206 | 68,904 |
| – Canada | 10,784 | _ | 6,963 | 17,747 |
| – Other | 16 | _ | 3,842 | 3,858 |
| | 45,473 | 25 | 45,011 | 90,509 |
| | | | | |
| Europe: | | | | |
| – United Kingdom | 36,069 | - | 5,825 | 41,894 |
| – Other | 46,939 | _ | 6,407 | 53,346 |
| | 83,008 | - | 12,232 | 95,240 |
| | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | |
| Asia-Pacific excluding Hong Kong: | | | | |
| – Australia | 33,865 | - | 397 | 34,262 |
| – China | 20,668 | - | 12,615 | 33,283 |
| – Japan | 11,802 | - | 149 | 11,951 |
| – Other | 32,308 | _ | 6,091 | 38,399 |
| | 98,643 | - | 19,252 | 117,895 |
| The Americas: | | | | |
| | 20 111 | 1 107 | 6,000 | 27.164 |
| – United States | 29,111 | 1,187 | 6,866 | 37,164 19,561 |
| – Canada– Other | 14,428 45 | 240 | 3,893 4,051 | 18,561 4,096 |
| - Other | | 1 427 | | |
| | 43,584 | 1,427 | 14,810 | 59,821 |
| Europe: | | | | |
| – United Kingdom | 33,031 | _ | 8,511 | 41,542 |
| – Other | 123,002 | _ | 4,627 | 127,629 |
| | 156,033 | _ | 13,138 | 169,171 |